

“Free Speech and Personal Responsibility”

This article is from the “Edifying the Body” section of the Church of God Big Sandy’s website (churchofgodbigandy.com). It was posted for the weekend of Aug. 12, 2023.

By Dave Havir

BIG SANDY, Texas—One of the great freedoms in the United States of America is the freedom of speech. Many countries have laws designed to discourage free speech. Many countries have government entities to punish people who practice free speech.

People are noticing that some U.S. government officials and some U.S. government entities are moving toward encroaching upon citizens who practice freedom of speech.

In this article, I want to give you the opportunity to understand two powerful traits—free speech and personal responsibility.

I am going to post two long articles by Dr. Robert Malone. Dr. Malone presents ideas that are generally not accepted by the gatekeepers of this country—the government institutions and the corporate media.

Someone could ask: Do you expect me to believe everything that Dr. Malone writes?

My response would be: “Absolutely not.”

- Just because I quote an article by various authors does not mean that I believe everything that they write.
- Some of my friends will get turned off because the two articles are long—and will avoid reading the articles.
- Other of my friends will not find the subject to be interesting—and will be the master of their own reading subjects.
- And my friends (who can handle the length of the articles and who find the subject interesting) definitely do not believe in group think. Therefore, they will apply personal responsibility in their evaluation of the material in the two articles.

And that’s why I have composed the material for this long article—free speech and personal responsibility.



An article by Dr. Robert Malone titled "Puppet Masters of the Pandemic, Part 1: Deep State's Role in Engineering the Covid Crisis Exposed" was posted at lifesitenews.com on Aug. 4, 2023. Following are excerpts of the article.

The following article is the first of a two part series containing highlights from the transcripts of interviews between Dr. Robert Malone and Veronika Kyrylenko of "The New American."

Veronika Kyrylenko: Hi, everyone. This is Veronica Kyrylenko with "The New American" magazine. We're in Madison, Virginia, where we're hosted by Dr. Robert Malone, a brilliant scientist and the original inventor of mRNA vaccine technology-turned freedom fighter and a fearless troublemaker, taking on the Deep State now.

Dr. Malone, just as the memory of covid was beginning to fade from the public minds (like a haunting nightmare with occasional reminders caused by painfully slow congressional hearings regarding the Bethesda Boys and their knowledge timeline) you sir, inadvertently dropped a nuclear truth bomb.

You aimed not at the regular usual suspects (like the NIH technocrats that were practically caught red-handed in Wuhan). You sir, aimed not at the DOD [Department of Defense] that had its own shady dealings and business with the Wuhan lab.

Now, Dr. Malone, you aimed at Langley. While speaking with Steve Bannon last week, you said (quoting your sources from inside the CIA): "Clearly the United States intelligence community was at the heart of this whole covid crisis, working together with the CCP throughout this entire affair."

So first of all, let's set the records straight and focus on the first part of your statement.

What do you mean, the intelligence community was at the heart of this whole covid crisis?

Which role exactly did our intelligence community play before and during the pandemic?

Dr. Robert Malone: When I speak of the intelligence community, it's a very broad spectrum of capabilities that includes the Department of Defense activities (under Tony Fauci) as it also includes the NIH (biodefense research activities). And then, it's even larger than that because of the Five Eyes Alliance (Great Britain, Canada, U.S., Australia, and New Zealand)—all the lockdown countries that have been perhaps most aggressive in their propaganda, use of fifth generation warfare, the censorship, retaliation against civilian populations, et cetera.

So when I speak of the intelligence community, I'm really talking about a matrix of capabilities that are global—that knowledgeable people within my sphere argue is the most powerful organization on earth.

Obviously, the intelligence community has no moral compunctions about manipulating elections, assassination of foreign leaders or a number of other activities that most of us would consider to be unethical. But these are justified as necessary or supportive of the interests of this kind of imperialistic world that we live in right now—with the desire by leading economic powers and their surrogates and governmental organizations to gain power and control over resources and all kinds of things.

Even to the level that various speakers representing the World Economic Forum and the United Nations use language like “we own the science.” So I’m talking about the entire matrix.

What has been their role throughout this covid crisis?

And as you know Veronika, this has been a journey that I’ve been on. This has been my daily work in trying to understand the underpinnings of a covid crisis and how the world has responded to that.

For me, I’ve had this journey of discovery. For instance, through Ernst Wolff’s thinking, that a lot of this was driven by an economic agenda and manipulated by various economic forces.

■ Many people assert that the central banks and the Bank of International Settlements has been the puppet master behind all of this.

■ And there’s various theories that have been floated that it was old European royalty, that it was the British crown, that it was the WEF, or that it was the CCP. (The CCP has been the initial thesis that was promoted so aggressively by many people. That this was all the CCP pulling the strings of the West.

I have been really reluctant and yet also criticized for imagining that the United States government had a major role in this.

As you know, I’m subject to daily attacks from people who assert that because I’ve worked on vaccines and because I’ve worked with the government, I’m compromised and I’m controlled opposition and I’m a liar, and nothing I say should be paid attention to.

Veronika: You are the worst controlled opposition ever, doctor.

Dr. Robert Malone: Bobby Kennedy said, “if he’s controlled opposition, send us 10 more.”

So there’s some things that have really bothered me all the way through this. One was having the opportunity to hear and interact directly with Michael Callahan early on in the outbreak. He said a series of things. Michael Callahan (being a retired CIA officer who was at DARPA) was a program officer responsible for a lot of the modern biologics programs.

Veronika: And DARPA is with NIH?

Dr. Robert Malone: No, DARPA is Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency, and DARPA is the operational, let's say, skunk works—the operational developmental arm of the CIA.

Veronika: I was thinking about BARDA, I'm sorry.

Dr. Robert Malone: So DARPA is the one that developed the SR-71 spy plane. They're the ones that really did develop the internet, ARPANET. And they're at the forefront of technology development for basically our intelligence community [including human augmentation for military purposes].

So Callahan has deep roots, and when you read through Whitney Webb's article "DARPA's Man in Wuhan" (about Michael Callahan), she provides a level of detail and granularity about his CV that I was not even aware of—and that is as somebody who has published with him and worked with him for a few years.

So to recap. Callahan gave me a call on January 2 of 2020, in which he told me that this virus, while he was in Wuhan at the time [that was what he told me].

- That there was this coronavirus that looked like a real threat.
- That it had emerged into the population in Wuhan.
- That I should get the people that I've been associated with loosely (for years) activated and focused on trying to come up with potential solutions for this in terms of medical countermeasures (drugs or vaccines) for this new coronavirus that had emerged in Wuhan.

At the time, I didn't even know where Wuhan was. I had no idea of the importance of Wuhan economically in the CCP setup and its role as a central manufacturing facility and technology hub for the CCP in China.

So I got this call and then, I had a series of interactions with Michael over the next few months. Among those was me calling him in early February as I recall.

I had downloaded this "Wuhan seafood market virus" sequence from the NIH sequence database as soon as it was released (which I think was January 10 or 11), and started working on modeling x-ray crystallography based on that sequence, et cetera, so we could work on computational drug discovery (to discover repurposed drugs with anti-viral properties). And I had a series of conversations with Michael on and off.

The intelligence community is involved throughout this entire enterprise and has been for, as you know, since Operation Paperclip, when we imported Japanese and German biowarfare experts.

He shared with me what he was doing at various points in time (early in the pandemic).

- For instance, he was on point for the Diamond Princess outbreak. At the time, I was directly interacting with passengers on the Diamond Princess and learning from them what was going on.
- He was on point for the design and deployment of the tent hospitals in New York city.
- And he was on point for development of the protocols and management of the elder care facilities throughout the United States beginning in the west coast.
- So those are three kind of key things in the timeline of covid.

In February 2020, I challenged him: "Michael, this seems like it was a engineered virus that came from the laboratory, this laboratory Wuhan Institute."

Veronika: Why would you assume that?

Dr. Robert Malone: Remember in late January and early February (2020), the information control hadn't really completely clamped down and there was a lot of buzz going on and chatter about the characteristics of the virus, the furin cleavage site and other characteristics.

Remember, I'm deep in analyzing the sequence, other characteristics that were not consistent with the natural origin, and the storyline that we were all told, even with the labeling of the original sequence, the Wuhan seafood market virus.

We went through this series of alternate explanations about Chinese people eating bat soup, remember? And about the nature of the Wuhan seafood market, and it's a live market, and they're bringing all these animals in from all over China. It was discussed about Pangolins being a source, and there were Pangolin viruses and a variety of bat virus sequences, et cetera, that you could compare to. And certainly, there was a significant overlap with the Pangolin sequences as well as some of the bat sequences.

So I challenged Michael, that it appeared that there was this storyline coming out, which seemed to have some merit, that this was a laboratory engineered virus.

And his response was quite literally (I'm pretty sure I got the exact quote): "My people have been all over this sequence and there's absolutely no evidence that it was engineered, okay?"

So I took that to mean his colleagues at DARPA or the CIA had analyzed the sequence and there was no evidence that it had the classic hallmarks of recombinant DNA, which would be restriction enzyme ligation sites that are very easy to find, standard algorithms. And I took that as gospel. I said, "Okay, Michael, therefore it must be."

Over time, it's become clear (as the information has come out that what was used in engineering viruses, coronaviruses at Wuhan Institute of Virology) was a technology that had been transferred apparently through Ralph Baric

and EcoHealth Alliance into Wuhan Institute of Virology. That this tech transfer had allowed the engineering of novel sequences or manipulation of a viral backbone sequence without creating the hallmarks of classic recombinant DNA.

Okay, so suddenly Michael's statement. If you unpack it, with that in mind, then "my people", is a euphemism. He would also use terms like "the Secret Handshake Club" to relate to his network of colleagues and contacts.

"My people have been all over this virus."

I took this to mean that the CIA and its contractors had carefully analyzed the virus sequence and that they saw no evidence that it was engineered. That is saying that it doesn't have any of the hallmarks of classical recombinant DNA. But at that point, Michael must have known that this alternative recombinant DNA technology existed.

In thinking back on what was said to me, his statement appears to have been duplicitous. Later on, I caught him in a number of lies, and that's when I stopped dealing with him. So Michael is clearly a highly skilled liar as virtually all CIA agents are. They're trained in how to deceive, that's a core part of CIA training.

Veronika: What was going on in Wuhan, doctor?

Dr. Robert Malone: My understanding of all of this is that coronaviruses were identified as a suitable platform for engineering of bio warfare agents quite a long time ago, years ago. Coronaviruses were one of the high profile targets for engineering for biowarfare purposes.

To say that it was a suitable agent for bio warfare, is also to say that it is a high profile agent for investigation for dual purpose [meaning both military and civilian uses].

There are a series of publications in peer-reviewed major journals talking about coronavirus and coronavirus engineering. We now know that the preponderance of evidence is that the furin cleavage site (which enables higher infectivity and transmissibility in humans) was engineered. It does not have the hallmarks of having been from a natural source. We've had a series of disinformation campaigns trying to assert otherwise.

The preponderance of evidence shows that:

- It was engineered, that it was engineered with the cooperation of EcoHealth Alliance.
- There was a close operational collaboration between Ralph Baric's group and the woman commonly referred to as the "Bat Lady" at the Wuhan Institute of Virology.
- That a series of mutants were generated—those mutant viruses were then passaged, that part of this (involving humanized mice).

Just to give you a background on what humanized mice are: you can take an immunodeficient mouse and implant human tissue into it and it will reconstruct something like a human immune system. This is a very expensive advanced technology, but it allows for the creation of genetically engineered mice that'll be more supportive for this kind of technology.

[Back to Wuhan.]

There was serial passage of these coronaviruses in this mouse model. So that's one way that you can select viruses that are then going to be more likely to be infectious to humans (by passaging them mouse to mouse to mouse) in a mouse model that has been humanized and that has basically a surrogate human immune system.

We know that with the biodefense enterprise (broadly writ, in the United States), the CIA is intimately integrated into all levels. That's why I have all these CIA contacts. I was brought into the biodefense community after 9/11 when I went to work for DynPort Vaccine company (because the Norwegian investors in the newco which Jill and I helped launch) had pulled out after 9/11 and we had no income.

There is a case to be made (and I've spoken about this repeatedly) that there is a bona fide threat of both naturally occurring, emerging infectious disease from environmental disruption, as well as the threat of engineered pathogens coming out of the usual sources—including those cited in Kenneth Alibek's disclosures regarding the former Soviet Union programs and known bio warfare research and development going on within the People's Republic of China.

Then, there are various efforts in Middle Eastern countries, and others as well. Of course, Israel has never signed the bio warfare treaty. So there are a lot of "players" in this space that are very aware of these infectious pathogens, even though they are ostensibly banned by the warfare treaty, (except in Israel, which is not a signatory).

These players could be and are likely to be modifying genetically genetic organisms for weaponization. So this research is justified as basically something akin to the mutually assured destruction argument. The other guy is doing it and so therefore we have to [do it], because we don't want to get left behind technologically.

The (purported) underpinning logic of EcoHealth Alliance and what was being done was revealed in the DARPA grant application that Project Veritas captured (so long ago that most people have forgotten about it). The logic, that tortured logic, was that EcoHealth Alliance would engineer viruses that would be more highly infectious and pathogenic in humans. Then, attenuate them, but enable them to be more highly infectious in bats and deploy them into bat caves, to infect the bats with these modified engineered viruses so that the bats would mount an immune response against those parts of the viruses that would make them more pathogenic in humans.

This is very upside down logic. As I've mentioned, the intelligence community is throughout the entire biodefense enterprise globally.

Veronika: Hold on, doctor. Before we go there, you mentioned that it was exposed by the Project Veritas, right? EcoHealth Alliance went to DARPA, but if I remember correctly, DARPA rejected this grant proposal but NIH did not.

Dr. Robert Malone: Right. [A “leaked” assessment of the origins of the virus by the U.S. military asserts that] Tony Fauci funded it [but Fauci was responsible for the entire U.S. biodefense portfolio.]

That’s one of the ways in which he amassed such massive power; he maintained oversight and approval authority for these major programs. The problem with all of that (not to get down to the weeds) is that we can’t prove that that wasn’t actually a CIA disinformation campaign to shift blame onto NIH and away from CIA and DOD.

Veronika: But they were there, your friends or ex-friends, Michael Callahan was there. What were they doing there in Wuhan?

Dr. Robert Malone: So Callahan has used his position at Harvard, that’s his cover. And Harvard Medical School has a reciprocal arrangement with a university that’s basically across the river from Wuhan, and he was there under that cover. And he had extremely detailed information about the Chinese investment and capabilities as it related to bio surveillance and particularly related to coronaviruses. He had details that he shared with me about the number of PCR machines and the testing protocols and capabilities that the Chinese government had.

When he went there, he cites (I think it’s) in a National Geographic article by Brendan Borrell. He discusses that he arrives and he’s put into the same hotel room that he used to always get put into when he was with the agency (CIA).

So clearly they know who he is and why he’s there. What happens is that they allow him into the main hospital on an observer basis for a day to observe how they’re treating these respiratory cases, which of course is this aggressive ventilation that has been responsible for so much death throughout Europe, (particularly Italy and the United States). So they show him how they’re managing covid. He ostensibly is consulting and then (according to the Borrell articles) he is allowed to Skype in on a daily basis for a while as they are discussing the Chinese physicians, discussing the management of these various cases.

Then he tells me that he is directly managing something like 600 SARS-CoV-2 patients in Wuhan during his brief [visit]. I think it was two weeks that he’s there.

Veronika: When was it? I’m sorry.

Dr. Robert Malone: This is in January of 2020. That’s a functional impossibility. Okay. It was a lie, but he used this, he leveraged this lie to establish himself as the leading expert in the management of SARS-CoV-2 in the United States. Which is why he was put into position at the Diamond Princess, the New York Hospital (tent hospital) and the nursing homes.

By the way, through all of this, he's reporting directly to Bob Kadlec—who is the assistant secretary of preparedness and response, and I believe has deep intelligence community ties.

So, the intelligence community is involved throughout this entire enterprise and has been for, as you know, since Operation Paperclip (when we imported Japanese and German biowarfare experts). You'll find out more about Operation Paperclip for those who are not facile with that in Bobby Kennedy Jr.'s new book that's going to be coming out shortly.

Bobby goes in depth into Paperclip, in which we basically imported scientists (just like we did with Wernher von Braun and the Rocket Program). We imported a biowarfare infectious disease specialist from the Japanese and German programs after World War II. The Japanese program was notoriously unethical (would be the kindest way I could put it).

There was a hospital where prisoners were kept well-fed because they felt that was necessary for the experimentation. For instance, they had cells in which they would have to put their arm out in order to get fed, and then they would be inoculated with material.

This is the most shocking thing I've heard about all this. It was believed that in order to get good data, they would do the autopsy on live patients.

Veronika: Basically murdering...

Dr. Robert Malone: More than murdering. Torture would be the kindest way you could put it. These are the people that came to Fort Detrick and founded our biodefense/bio warfare program. They were imported directly for this purpose. So that's the origin of the whole biodefense enterprise. I had no idea about all of this three years ago. I knew about the involvement of the intelligence community.

Anyhow, getting back on track, IC has had deep roots throughout the biodefense enterprise and biowarfare enterprise before that for decades and decades. My understanding is, just to put the cherry on top, the amount of capital invested in our bio warfare program in the United States exceeded the amount invested in our nuclear warfare program.

We have Callahan lying about a whole series of things that when you look at the lies, they really reveal a deep, intimate knowledge of the whole situation at Wuhan and an intimate knowledge of what the Chinese government did, what the CCP did in response to the entry of the virus into the human population. That's a way we can phrase that without committing to whether it was a lab leak or otherwise.

Veronika: Was it not? Because it's an important question because usually when we discuss the release of covid virus, of SARS-CoV-2, we usually refer to that as a leak, which suggests that it was accidental, but how do we know that it wasn't?

Dr. Robert Malone: We don't. What I am understanding, and again, I have to caution everything because we're in an environment in which disinformation is being actively deployed, and we've had multiple different stories told to us through the press, corporate media, and the government (such as the various animal origins, et cetera) and they continue to be told. So, it's really difficult to sort out what is disinformation (intentional propaganda) and what is reality. We just get into a very fuzzy domain in terms of the science (much of which is not published) so we can't really assess it.

So we have Callahan there with intimate details being brought in by the CCP in a very limited and strange way—allowed to assimilate information about their treatment protocols and processes and import that back into the United States.

We also know through a variety of other sources that we had individuals in the White House, in the national security apparatus that were receiving information directly from Wuhan physicians in parallel that we now recognize was propaganda (Matthew Pottinger, see this substack essay for a summary). And we had these concerted propaganda campaigns, it's unclear from whom, about people dying in the streets in Wuhan and the build out of the hospital.

You remember the rapid build out of the hospital, so the whole origin of the virus is shrouded in propaganda—layers and layers and layers of propaganda. Why would the intelligence community have this footprint and intimate knowledge and awareness (situational awareness) of what's going on at the Wuhan Institute of Virology? That's been an outstanding question.

Veronika: And then trying to suppress it.

Dr. Robert Malone: And actively trying to suppress it.

That's some of the newer information. And that information comes to me from multiple sources. And I've had it in the back of my mind waiting until I got enough independent validation that it could hit a tipping point.

The thesis that's been floated repeatedly to me by insiders is that (as Bannon would put it) the theory of the case is that the human intelligence assets that the CIA had in China relating to their bioengineering and biowarfare programs were identified apparently because of a mole in the United States and were all eliminated.

In other words, they were all killed, and it happened a few years ago before this. I've known that the CIA had a variety of different sources of intelligence regarding infectious disease in China for years and years and years, and these assets were no longer there.

They knew that China was actively advancing a variety of different biowarfare capabilities, programmatic capabilities, and they had no eyes on it.

By the way, the whole storyline that I was in charge of programs at DTRA (Defense Threat Reduction Agency) is laughable. I was a small subcontractor with a DOD secret clearance. There's no way that a subcontractor would be

given a programmatic authority over major biodefense programs such as DOMANE. So that's a whole false storyline that's been developed and repeatedly amplified by some of my detractors.

And yes, DOMANE did take credit for the development of Remdesivir because of what DTRA had done during the Ebola outbreak, working together with Michael Callahan.

DTRA has a threat mitigation branch, which was really all about nuclear threat mitigation and reduction. They were the ones that had launched this surreptitiously successful program into the former Soviet Union to buy up the loose nukes. You may recall this famous initiative, and from that had grown similar programs in the biologic space in which DTRA, as they had done in the former Soviet Union, would fund scientists in those areas ostensibly to get them to be friendly.

So there is this threat mitigation branch within DOD/DTRA which works on both nuclear threats and biologic threats. Originally, DTRA had denied that they had anything to do with funding the Wuhan Institute of Virology, but then it was shared with me by someone within DTRA (who I asked specifically to find out about this) that yes, in fact, the threat mitigation branch [in DTRA] had been funding the Wuhan Institute of Virology.

The logic that this individual shared with me was that it this was being done so that scientists at the Wuhan Institute of Virology would not have financial incentives to go rogue—just as the logic had been with the former Soviet scientists in the nuclear programs.

Now, on its face that fails plausibility, because if you think about it, pretty much everybody in the medical scientific complex within China (including the foreigners that come into the United States for postgraduate training, et cetera) are all tightly linked to CCP. They will be taken out if they go off the reservation. I'm talking about death, sudden death, not from cardiac [issues after] taking the jab.

So this was the (apparently fake) storyline that was provided to my colleague, that we were doing this funding in the Wuhan Institute of Virology of two specific scientists in order to reduce the risk that they would go rogue in some way.

[The other reason given was] that DTRA was gathering intelligence.

So that was the first time that I became aware that there was U.S. activity involving capitalizing the Wuhan Institute of Virology and specific scientists in order to gather intelligence and build relationships with those scientists.

Then as time has gone by (I've heard this story in different versions from different people, and what comes out of all of that is that again and again that) the CIA had lost its human intelligence eyes within the CCP on their biowarfare training programs and that a decision had been made to engage in a quid pro quo relationship with the CCP through the Wuhan Institute of Virology, in which there would be a certain amount of technology transfer and funding.

And we know from Bob Redfield (ex-director of the CDC), in sworn testimony to Congress, who said that funding also came from USAID, which is often a cutout for the CIA through the State Department, and from DOD, and from NIH. So we now (in the present) have the receipts for most of those.

In our pre-talk, I mentioned Diane Cutler, the federal investigator who is working with Senator Marshall and also working in the house, digging into all the receipts for these various funding streams that have gone back to the Wuhan Institute of Virology.

So it appears that what happened was that through EcoHealth Alliance there was financial support and organizational support from the intelligence community, DTRA, USAID, and NIAID.

There was funding of research at the Wuhan Institute of Virology together with technology transfer and specific support of individuals within the Wuhan Institute of Virology to engage in engineering of coronaviruses [gain-of-function], mutant coronaviruses, and that's now pretty well documented, and I've heard it from multiple different streams.

Why would they be doing this?

Apparently, it was all because of the potential upside of at least limited intelligence concerning the capabilities of the CCP in engineering bio-pathogens, but the paradox being that we're enabling that and providing technology transfer to enable it and advance that technology of our opponent.

Veronika: In the official United States documents as the greatest geopolitical threat?

Dr. Robert Malone: Yeah.

Veronika: And yet, here we are, transferring down technology?

Dr. Robert Malone: Absolutely, and we have these various statements from Fauci and Collins and others that were involved—in particular this scientist from Scripps, Dutch scientist Kristian Andersen.

This was the individual that had written one of the early essays, discounting advancing the natural origin and discounting the lab leak, but had previously been a fan of the lab leak hypothesis, and then was turned somehow and had a large pending grant with Tony Fauci at the time.

In this dialogue, there's statements to the effect that we have to protect the Chinese and this was part of the core logic.

Veronika: To protect ourselves.

Dr. Robert Malone: Bingo!

So when you factor in all these things and then you factor in the fact that we saw a global propaganda campaign (the likes of which the world has never seen before) deployed in a harmonized fashion through press and everything

else, the distribution of massive capital, funding globally to buy influencers in a harmonized, simultaneous fashion.

Well, I was still wondering what the heck was going on. They were busy buying up artists and influencers, and we saw the various televised propaganda put out. We saw the harmonization of messaging on corporate media, globally. I was able to see this in part because I took the job and was able to travel.

And as I traveled to Europe and Italy and the U.K., what blew my mind was the things that we were seeing happen in the United States were happening all over the world in a harmonized, simultaneous fashion.

That's really hard to imagine an organization (even the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation, even the World Health Organization or the WEF) as having the necessary power and coordination skills to pull this off. I just couldn't wrap my head around the possibility that any one of those organizations, let alone the central banks, had that much power.

Veronika: June 2021.

Dr. Robert Malone: We talked about emergent phenomena that this might just be the consequence of simultaneous things happening and separate actors acting on their own initiatives, and the result would be this vector sum of things. I've never felt comfortable with that as an explanation for why we would see this amazing harmonization of propaganda, censorship, messaging strategies, technical strategies like the purchasing of influencers, et cetera, globally.

Then, a colleague (a close colleague of mine who actually employs a former director of the CIA, he and I talk about this from time to time) [began] discussing who is the puppet master? What's behind all this?

We had a discussion in which he related a conversation with his employee (former DCIA) regarding the global power and reach of the CIA, in which the former DCIA concluded that the CIA was currently the most powerful organization in the world—perhaps the most powerful global organization in human history. This is one of those things I haven't wanted to believe.



An article by Dr. Robert Malone titled "Puppet Masters of the Pandemic, Part 2: CIA May Be the Most Powerful Organization in the History of Humankind" was posted at lifesitenews.com on Aug. 7, 2023. Following are excerpts of the article.

Dr. Robert Malone (from Part I): The interview left off with the big question of who is the puppet master? What's behind all this propaganda and control over the past three years? I spoke of different theories that people had put

out there, and ended with the beginning of the hypothesis that the CIA may in fact be that controlling element. Part II picks up the thesis there.

Dr. Robert Malone (from Part I): We [Bret Weinstein, Steve Kirsch and myself] talked about emergent phenomena, that this might just be the consequence of simultaneous things happening and separate actors acting on their own initiatives, and the result would be a vector sum of things (controlling the propaganda and globalized governmental policies).

I've never felt comfortable with that as an explanation for why we would see this amazing harmonization of propaganda, censorship, messaging strategies, technical strategies like the purchasing of influencers, et cetera, globally.

Then, a colleague (a close colleague of mine who actually employs a former director of the CIA) and I were discussing who is the puppet master? What's behind all this? We had a discussion in which he related a conversation with his employee (former DCIA) regarding the global power and reach of the CIA in which the former DCIA concluded that the CIA was currently the most powerful organization in the world—perhaps the most powerful global organization in human history.

This is one of those things I haven't wanted to believe.

PART II

Dr. Robert Malone: If you are subjected on a daily basis to this advanced technology of nudging and information control and censorship, control of narrative, [governments and NGO] cooperating with press, cooperating with social media so that every single thing you encounter is manipulated to support the agenda and the narrative of whomever the dominant force is that is propagating this—how can you come to any autonomous conclusion about what should be done?

Why would any American citizen want to believe that their country was intimately involved in potentially driving this whole covid crisis?

I can't imagine that. And yet I keep seeing signs of our intelligence community and particularly Five Eyes alliance (with GCHQ in the UK) being deeply involved, and appearing to be the primary driver.

For instance, MI6 (The Secret Intelligence Service of the United Kingdom which is involved with the covert overseas collection and analysis of human intelligence) being involved in the editing of Wikipedia (including my own entries) as well as anything having to do with Ivermectin.

The reveals from Australia, New Zealand, and particularly Canada, about the involvement of each of their departments of defense organizations in deployment of these very aggressive fifth-generation warfare campaigns against their own citizens.

The Nudge Units in the UK, the harmonization of messaging, particularly in methods deployed across the Five Eyes nations, and as we've often previously explained as well, they planned it all out during Event 201, which was sponsored by the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation.

Maybe that's why that all happened.

Veronika Kyrylenko: The WHO and the Johns Hopkins University.

Dr. Robert Malone: Right, the Johns Hopkins has, particularly that unit, a longstanding affiliation with the CIA and then...

Veronika: They have their tentacles everywhere nationally? Oh my gosh.

Dr. Robert Malone: Absolutely. Okay. I'm talking about this with my colleague (as I mentioned, this gentleman happens to employ a former director of the CIA).

He said, "I asked my colleague, my worker the other day. Does he think the CIA is the most powerful organization in the world right now?"

And the gentleman thought about it for a while and he said: "Yes, it is. (The CIA) may be the most powerful organization in the history of humankind, globally."

Okay. The CIA.

I run into people saying this in Europe, my European friends often. (Well, there are various fringe political elements. Many are socialists. So, I always discounted what they would say.) But they would say that, for instance, Italy has two masters. Brussels (the European Union) and the CIA.

When they would say CIA, my internal dialog was like, "State Department maybe. United States government maybe, why CIA?"

The CIA is an organization that would have the capability to deploy this.

- This is an organization that did found the World Economic Forum, that's well documented.
- This is an organization that was intimately involved in what was going on at the Wuhan Institute of Virology.
- This is an organization that, through its former employee (and still intimately integrated) Dr. Michael Callahan, was busy spreading disinformation to me in real time.

I experienced that as a first-person experience. And then I've had various third parties come to me and share various information about what they've observed within "the agency." That's what led me finally to the tipping point of coming to the conclusion that I can't explain the cascade of events any other way, other than concluding that our intelligence community and the extended Five Eyes alliance were at the center of all of this.

Veronika: If so, what were they trying to accomplish?

Dr. Robert Malone: I've just gone through basically hypothesis testing and what are the data supporting it? And now you're asking me about motivation, which I always resist, but I'm going to speculate.

■ Number one: As I mentioned on Bannon, one of the things that is true about the modern intelligence community, the CIA, is its hyper compartmentalized. We have bureaucracies, administrative groups within this structure that are semi-autonomous, and even more so because of In-Q-Tel.

It is a fact that the CIA through DARPA created Moderna.

In-Q-Tel continues to capitalize the development and deployment of mRNA vaccines. The mRNA vaccine technology is intimately linked to the intelligence community.

For whatever reason, they have selected this as their horse that they're going to ride for medical countermeasure development and rapid response.

Veronika: I'm sorry, all mRNA vaccines or only Moderna?

Dr. Robert Malone: All mRNA vaccines.

This was another thread that I was surprised to learn about is Scott Gottlieb of Pfizer—

Veronika: /FDA.

Dr. Robert Malone: Yes—Formerly FDA.

He has strong intelligence community ties and he directly intervened with US policy at a point in time when there was a sudden shift from an emphasis on Moderna to Pfizer, and I know from other colleagues that have contacted me during this outbreak that sat on the management committee for Moderna for Operation Warp Speed, that it was not Moderna managing that product. It was the US government/DoD managing that product development.

Veronika: Operation Warp Speed was managed, well, officially at least by the HHS and DOD together.

Dr. Robert Malone: Yes, well put.

Veronika: Well, it was made to facilitate the public-private partnership.

Dr. Robert Malone: Well, you're choosing key words.

[Public-private partnership is a modern euphemism for corporatism, which is itself the true meaning of fascism, not the distorted meaning which corporate media has created and weaponized as a synonym for "far right" when in fact fascism emerged from socialism.]

Veronika: It's the keywords from official description on their website.

Dr. Robert Malone: Absolutely.

Veronika: I'm not taking it out of my head.

Dr. Robert Malone: The government set up a committee of government personnel that made daily operational decisions about the development and selection of dosing and other characteristics of that vaccine. The way I've heard it from other sources is that Tony Fauci was actually really aggravated by Rick Bright. You remember Rick Bright, former head of BARDA, eventually got run out and is now with the Rockefeller? Okay.

He was really aggravated by Rick Bright unilaterally authorizing large block of funding for the development of the Janssen adenovirus vaccine, which now has been pulled from the market. That he only wanted to see advancement of the mRNA tech. You'll recall that the Moderna, or the original version of the Moderna vaccine was jointly developed with the Vaccine Research Center at NIH, which is directly under the guidance of Tony Fauci (this included large royalty payments to directly NIAID employees, including Tony Fauci. No conflict on interest there).

By the way, it's always amazes me, people blame me for these vaccines. They never cite the people that actually engineered them at the NIH and at Moderna, but that's another tangent (it is pretty clear that many of these people are getting paid by the US government to attack me via 5th gen warfare—basically propaganda).

Anyway, the govies who received these large royalty payments have all pretty much retired with very large paychecks because of the royalties that they've received. Of course, no one will disclose how much those royalties were, not even to congressional investigators. That's a whole another thread.

We had the government directly involved in managing the development of the Moderna products. We had the CIA through DARPA funding Moderna directly. They seeded it. They created it, okay. We have In-Q-Tel, the largely autonomous now venture capital arm of the CIA. They've got so much cash, they don't really need a government budget anymore to do whatever they want to do. This gets to the point of how powerful the CIA is.

It is largely increasingly autonomous from the federal budget and certainly autonomous from congressional oversight because a lot of this is hidden in the dark budget. When we talk about administrative state, it isn't just an ethereal buzzword or slang that one throws about because it's convenient and it gets people excited. It is an actual thing. It is increasingly autonomous.

A lot of this stuff is managed by the senior executive service, which is this group of federal employees that are immune from being fired, as I have written about before. They have their own flag. (These 7,000+/-) people that are the permanent bureaucracy that run the government. The senior executive service really runs the government. The president doesn't. This convergence of all these threads is fascinating as information has come out about the hiding of stuff from Congress and congressional oversight concerning UAPs. One

of the quotes that came out that I think is just absolutely golden is the senior executive service and a lot of these administrators see elected politicians (including the President) as “temporary government employees”.

From their point of view, the politicians are just here for a little while and they can disregard them, because the senior executive service really runs the country. That’s where we’re at right now.

What we have seen over the last three years, at least what I think I’ve seen very clearly, is the fusion of the federal bureaucracy and the intelligence community. We see this with all of the widespread censorship, for instance, that’s come out in the Twitter files and now in the Facebook files. We see this direct involvement of a huge range of agencies from Department of Homeland Security, FBI, CIA, et cetera, directly intervening.

Of course, it’s really gone on steroids under the Biden administration, with the executive branch directly intervening with the media and social media to promote their approved narrative and to suppress alternative narratives, which is really what the censorship is.

That’s where we’re at, we really do have a rogue administration, a rogue nation state, and we absolutely have a rogue intelligence community, which for whatever reason believes that it’s in its interest, its global geopolitical interests to cooperate with the CCP in this area of bio welfare technology development.

This appeared to have happened at Wuhan Institute of Virology. We’ve got multiple lines of evidence that-

Veronika: Who do you intend to use this biotechnology against, if we are geopolitical enemies, then who are we to deploy this thing.

Dr. Robert Malone: That’s the thing, okay. Now we have-

Veronika: Well, exactly. We’re not what we’re saying.

My point is... Well, to me it looks like this virus was developed to be deployed against all of us.

Dr. Robert Malone: When you get down to the why, all you can do is speculate because we don’t have any direct evidence. That’s why this recent essay that we put out that had a deep dive into the Kissinger Report, and subsequent national security directives from Gerald Ford and multiple other memos which establishes depopulation as a US government priority, capping global population at eight billion, which we almost hit in 2020, a coincidence at best. [Global human population has now exceeded 8 billion.]

We’ve had these policies which extend broadly and are strangely consistent with a number of the research activities and tests that have been deployed by the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation in Africa and in India, having to do a sterilization of girls in particular. The advocacy for abortion, advocacy for surgical sterilization of males and females, advocacy of development of new hormonal injectable therapies that were long-lasting, etc.

That's one example of a possible agenda, and it's one that many people have speculated about throughout this, that this was really about a depopulation agenda. I can't say that it was about a depopulation agenda or was it about an economic agenda (as Ernst Wolff has advanced) or was it about something else?

Veronika: But none of those are mutually exclusive?

Dr. Robert Malone: None of them are mutually exclusive, and I can say we now have the evidence to say that talking about a depopulation agenda on the part of the US government as national policy is not a conspiracy theory.

It is absolutely grounded in documentation that is now been declassified. It's publicly available. It was codified by Henry Kissinger. Henry Kissinger is absolutely one of the prime mentors of Klaus Schwab. Henry Kissinger was intimately involved in the creation of the World Economic Forum. Henry Kissinger just came back from meetings with Xi in which he was widely lauded as a great friend of the CCP in China.

I conclude that the preponderance of evidence, in my mind, suggests that the intelligence community was involved before, during and during the technical events of management of the covid crisis. And I know that for a fact because of—

Veronika: Vaccination campaigns?

Dr. Robert Malone: The development of the vaccines and the various public policies that were deployed, including the ventilation, overuse of toxic pharmaceuticals that were not tested adequately.

Veronika: Maybe they were?

Dr. Robert Malone: They did not meet standard protocols at the FDA for testing. That's why I'm saying.

■ Many speculate about the incentivized use of remdesivir as well as the over ventilation in US hospital treatment protocols as an intentional act for depopulation?

■ I can't go there because I don't have evidence saying that these were causally linked. That's speculation.

■ I do have evidence that says, "But we did have a depopulation agenda." That's a fact. I do have evidence that say that we intended to cap global population at eight billion. I do have data saying that we were hitting eight billion (globally) in the beginning of 2020.

■ But I don't have the smoking gun memo internally saying, "Hey, we should implement these kinds of policies because it would benefit our social security system, reduce the burden of elderly and diseased within the United States government and all the associated expenses with that."

■ I don't have evidence directly saying, "We're going to cooperate with the CCP on this."

■ We do have all of this other surrounding evidence though. And then there's the challenge of understanding just who (or what organization) is big enough and powerful enough to pull this whole thing off.

I don't know of another organization other than the intelligence community with its network of capabilities at WEF, United Nations, WHO.

Remember, United Nations deployed hundreds of paid trolls to attack people on the internet.

The UK employed many, many paid trolls through the 77th Brigade (this is a fact) to attack people, including US citizens on the internet.

The CDC paid through the foundation for CDC for organization and implementation of cyberstalking campaigns against those that were speaking on approved facts or narratives. That's a fact.

Veronika: Do you have enough evidence about the vaccines being intentionally harmful?

Dr. Robert Malone: No.

Veronika: How about them being so harmful that they need to be pulled out from the market?

Dr. Robert Malone: Yes. Those data are clear, I called for them being withdrawn well over a year ago. Actually, I think it's almost two years ago now, that they should be withdrawn from the market because they were neither safe nor effective at preventing infection, replication, and spread- that they could never be useful.

We've known for almost two years now, if not more, because of a leak to the Washington Post from the CDC (which I covered at the time) of a slide deck that showed that there was no way that you could achieve herd immunity with these leaky products. That's been known for a very long time. It was just covered up.

The engineering of the vaccines is a derivative of the engineering of the virus because the spike protein in the vaccines is derived from the engineered spike protein of the virus. So, the gain-of-function research that created the virus is upstream of the development of the engineered vaccine, which was dependent on the engineering of the virus.

There is an intimate linkage there, whether conscious or not, between the events in engineering the virus that now appear to be clear at the Wuhan Institute of Virology and the engineering of the vaccine.

Was it just that the personnel at the NIH/NIAID Vaccine Research Center were naive, and they were just following the prior literature that spike protein was highly immunogenic in prior research for MERS and SARS-1 vaccine

development, all of which had failed, by the way, to produce an adequate vaccine?

And they just took those data and that method and applied it here because they were under the press of time to try to get something out the door?

Veronika: But they have post marketing data already. Do you think that the NIH and all these other entities, including the intelligence community and DOD are not looking into those?

Dr. Robert Malone: Again, I agree with you that the circumstantial data is not consistent with a total benign explanation.

You're dead on. This is the largest experiment performed on human beings in the history of the world.

Veronika: The more you know . . .

Dr. Robert Malone: What they're doing is they're forcing vaccination on people, and I believe they're killing people with this vaccination.

It's murder. They are basically murdering people in hospitals [with the approved remdesivir-ventilation protocols].

The all-cause mortality we know is now higher in the vaccinated group than the unvaccinated group.

How we ever get to the bottom of this?

I have no idea because as I've said repeatedly, the US government has got a strong disincentive to ever come clean on this because if we ever had smoking gun showing that it was the US Intelligence Community cooperating with the CCP that gave rise to this global event, it could trigger mass protests and international legal actions.

All of this was an event, which in retrospect was clearly over-hyped, and in retrospect, clearly engineered. Thus it is fair to conclude that the "Pandemic" was engineered. To conclude otherwise is to disregard all the data. To disregard all these phenomena like the coordinated control of information and the censorship and all of that which was deployed globally.

Veronika: We have 11 entities within all three branches of the United States governments that are tasked to oversee intelligence community. Why are they not doing their job? Is it because they're not suicidal?

Dr. Robert Malone: Why won't the House and Senate investigate rigorously? Again, that's something you have to speculate about. We're not going to get Mitch McConnell on the record saying one thing or another about why this is the case. You've documented, and all of us collectively have documented the many conflicts of interest. I mentioned previously Scott Gottlieb moving back and forth between FDA, apparently intelligence community and Pfizer representing the interests of Pfizer.

We have Scott Gottlieb directly interacting with Twitter, trying to get information censored which conflicted with the controlled narrative. This is my point.

When you look at the preponderance of evidence, the intelligence community in the US government have been so intimately involved in all aspects of the control and propagation of the messaging disinformation, treatment protocols, management protocols.

For instance, the whole world accepted six foot social distancing, and we know that that was the consequence of basically a seat-of-the-pants estimate as we heard from Bob Redfield tell Congress. [And that logic was in turn imported from the CCP.]

Yet it was propagated globally as the law, as the way things have to be. If we ever wanted an example to demonstrate how powerful the United States is currently on the world stage, one only needs look at the harmonized global response to this pathogen; which in retrospect is not much of a pathogen.

The pandemic was greatly oversold in terms of the risk associated in this virus.

- We now know that, particularly in children (and young adults), if they don't have major pre-existing conditions, the risk [of death from covid] is negligible.
- We now know that there was an intentional structure set up to over-represent the number of deaths in the United States, and they're kind of hoist on their own petard over that because now US has one of the worst mortality rates (officially). But the problem is that those data are all contaminated because of over reporting.
- Because we know that they deployed a faulty PCR test. In retrospect, it's mind boggling that the CDC would deploy a faulty PCR test that was prone to high false positive rate and contaminated. The only benign explanation you can come up with is incompetence.

And it's as I've said repeatedly, you can't tell the difference between incompetence and maleficence.

We can't rule out that there wasn't an agenda here that had nothing to do with competence and maybe had nothing to do with infectious disease or with this virus. We can't rule that out. That I don't think can be debated anymore.

Veronika: What we also cannot rule out is that the preparations for the next pandemic is well underway. The White House just launched a permanent Office of the Pandemic Preparedness and Response Policy, and the WHO is actively negotiating its new pandemic convention as well as the amendments to the International Health Regulations (IHR). And of course, the pandemic simulations are taking place. How likely is the next pandemic?

Dr. Robert Malone: I get that question. At one level, I can't imagine a future in which we will not have a virus circulating throughout the world, a novel virus. That's just biology. That's been going on forever.

There's nothing new in that except for now we have this massive propaganda and press machine, just like we have about climate change, that is weaponizing this fact that infectious disease happens and has always happened within human populations and in animal populations. We never talk about the animal populations except the people talking about One Health.

- There's no doubt that there will be more infectious disease events, and that viral variants will circulate the globe.

- There's also no doubt that the human species has co-evolved with viral pathogens for the history of its evolution and has remarkable capabilities, which we call natural immunity, for responding to these pathogen variants that crop up from time to time.

- There is no doubt that children—because of in their thymus, this organ that controls particularly T-cell development and recognition of self versus non-self—that children are particularly well adapted to novel viruses.

A strong case we made it's actually good for them (when they're children) to be exposed to these things because it gives rise to long-lived natural immunity.

Often vaccines don't produce as good immunity in the general population as does natural infection (at the cost of some fraction of children having paralytic disease or whatever the other symptoms are).

But hey, with vaccines, we accept some fraction of children are going to be damaged by the vaccines. That's a fact. That's why we have that vaccines program set up for liability coverage. This will happen, and we're now in an environment where everything gets weaponized for political and economic advantage.

We also have now an industry that not only benefits but it is linked to deployment of propaganda, the censorship industrial complex.

Also, increasingly, corporate media is dependent on those financial relationships [with pharma and the government] because their business model is failing.

We have an industry focused on this new "defense" domain of "biodefense", which is enormously profitable, has this great business model as the company Emergent biodefense shows. Where a company can produce a biologic product and puts it into a government stockpile. Then whether or not it's used, like the smallpox vaccine—because the biologics expire after a certain period of time, they have to be renewed, so the stockpile has to be renewed. It is the perfect cash cow.

We know that we've got a situation for that industry where they have a single buyer, the government, that purchases the product and also regulates the

product and then stockpiles, “markets” (via mandates and various propa-
ganda pressure) and distributes the product.

They purchase the product, they regulate the product, they market the prod-
uct, they store the product, they distribute the product. They can mandate
the product. From the standpoint of Pfizer, it’s a perfect business model. You
couldn’t imagine a better one, all on the back of taxpayers.

We’ve seen that governments are quite willing to get into a private public
partnership, a corporatist relationship, which I argue is the essence of what
true fascism is, involving governments all over the world.

Furthermore, that these pharmaceutical companies in some cases will act
rather aggressively to promote their own interests above and beyond the
interests of the population, the populace or the government ostensibly
they’re serving. We see that with these various contracts that were set up by
Pfizer, in which nation states had to promise not to do release testing and
other characterization or share data about the effectiveness or toxicity of the
products under pain of risk of losing national assets like airports and harbors
to Pfizer. This is just incredible and Pfizer did this through the weaponization
of fear.

That’s the other thing is that you had this conversion of interest between
pharma and whatever this global entity is, which I assert is the US Intelli-
gence Community, to deploy fear-based propaganda in order to advance what
arguably was a public health agenda. This was enormously profitable. It
resulted in increased dependency of many nation states around the world on
the national security apparatus of the United States.

We talk about green colonialism or green imperialism. This is another variant
of that. A foreign government must buy our technology and our products in
order to (fill in the blank). If you look underneath the words that are within
the IHR (WHO International Health Regulation Amendments), for instance,
which you were just mentioning. Africa and these least developed countries,
which by the way are the ones that were specifically targeted for depopula-
tion by Kissinger, must buy these products and they must deploy these prod-
ucts.

From the point of view of the developed nation states, because they control
the capitalization for this program, the IHR program and the treaty is going
to be a function of what a given nation state’s medical budget is. The nation
states that spend the most on medicine are going to be obligated to provide
the most capital to CEPI and World Health Organization, in order to advance
this new agenda of “One Health” to make us all “more secure”. And by the
way, “One Health” transcends human health. It gives them license to do all
these same things in animal health, in anything else really they want to do—
even control of “climate change”. All in the name of “One Health.”

I have to conclude that the only entity that I’m aware of that fits all those
data is the CIA.

The CIA is the organizing principle—the hub, around which MI5, MI6, GCHQ, the Australian Intelligence Community, New Zealand Intelligence Community, Canadian Intelligence Community, US Defense Intelligence, the whole of defense response that Trump mandated, that was mandated by many of these other nation states; that makes sense.

The only organizing entity that I've been able to identify that ties all of these disparate things together and goes back to Event 201 is not the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation, it's the CIA.

Veronika: This entity looks way too big to me and probably to many Americans. Doctor, do you see any conceivable way in which its unconstitutional reign can be stopped?

Dr. Robert Malone: There were reforms previously that ostensibly took some of the CIA's power and put it into this Director of National Intelligence and kind of the broader scope of the intelligence gathering operations, which cuts across DOD and civilian intelligence.

Veronika: There are 18 of them.

Dr. Robert Malone: Yeah, right, exactly and the Department of Homeland Security of course. It used to be that the intelligence community was forbidden from actions domestically, but now those handcuffs are off, plus they can act through surrogates. That's why we had MI5 and MI6 acting against American citizens on behalf of the CIA and the US Intelligence Community and vice versa, US Intelligence Community acting against citizens in other Five Eyes nation members. They can get around pretty much anything.

Can we bring it to heel?

Part of the problem is the money, because the money drives it all. My thesis is that these various administrative groups are semi-autonomous and busy vying for capital and trying to grow their programs organically. This is the dynamics of DC, right?

Which is that you have your program and you do everything you can to grow it and get more budget, and when you have a crisis, there's a new pot of money there. It's like the pot of gold at the end of the rainbow and everybody is busy trying to grab some more of that money to build their little empire. The CIA is so compartmentalized that there are domains within there that are relatively undiscoverable, even if you are within the CIA bureaucracy. Even if you're DCIA. There are autonomous administrative groups there—

Veronika: There are at least nearly 50,000 people working for the agency.

Dr. Robert Malone: That we know about.

Yeah, is Langley a thing or is it kind of like an amoeba, an amorphous group of semi-cooperating entities that share dark money and technology and some culture but are semi-autonomous for all the "right reasons," because of security and the need to compartmentalize information so that they can never really be held accountable.

I think the only way to discover what is actually going on in the CIA is through financial means (investigating the funding sources). This is the threat associated with In-Q-Tel; they (the IC) are now at the point to be completely financially independent. We first saw this with the Iran-Contra situation. We hear that the intelligence community is involved in human trafficking, in drug trafficking and in all kinds of activities to generate capital. And they have their own cutout organizations (independent organizations and corporations with IC links which are need to know only). These are all over the place. They have their own massive venture capital fund. They're increasingly autonomous from Congress, from Congressional oversight.

Veronika: How would you de-fund them?

Dr. Robert Malone: Okay. I don't know the answer, but yet it has happened. The Stasi was taken down.

The starting point has to be the American people coming to the conclusion that they've had enough. I can't see anything happening without a preponderance of force of will on the part of the citizenry and that is part of the problem.

This is why I argue about fifth-generation warfare. That's why I think the fifth-generation warfare threat is so important. The technology for manipulation of information and thought is so comprehensive now. Fifth-generation warfare that was developed for offshore combat, has been deployed against the citizenry. This means that the whole concept of personal sovereignty and autonomy of individual citizens in an electorate is obsolete.

How can you, as a citizen of the United States, effectively come to independent conclusions about what's right or wrong, or how the government should or should not be behaving if you are subjected on a daily basis to this advanced technology of nudging and information control and censorship, control of narrative, government cooperating with press, cooperating with social media, so that every single thing you encounter is manipulated to support the agenda and the narrative of whoever the dominant force is that is propagating this. How can you come to any autonomous conclusion about what should be done?

And you add to that, most people just want to be told what to do. They don't want to have to think for themselves. They have families to raise and car payments to make and everything else. Most people are just trying to pay their bills. They didn't want to think about it. Or if they're thinking about it, they're thinking about local gossip and things like that.

To illustrate the point, not to be pejorative, people here in Madison County (Virginia) that are around me, many of them have never been on an airplane.

They don't live in the same thought space. I don't want to say intellectual space. The things that matter to them are not the things that matter to thought leaders and influencers in DC, New York, Los Angeles, San Francisco, and Atlanta. They live in a different world and they don't want to hear about this stuff.

They don't want to have to deal with it. That's one of the interesting things about "New American" is you are reaching a lot of these people. I know that because as I've traveled, people come up to me with these "New American" articles and ask me to sign things, and many are people that I never would have expected, from all walks of life.

How can we bring this thing to heel, this monster that's been created?

This Leviathan, which I argue is now fused with the senior executive service and the administrative state, and has assimilated the ethics of the intelligence community, which are entirely utilitarian. Anything in support of the broader agenda of the Administrative state and its political supporters is acceptable, anything.

Assassination, election manipulation, censorship, defamation, character assassination, financial deplatforming. We've seen the weaponization of banks and banking now increasingly normalized. At what point does this stop or does it just become the new normal?

I think that's the big question. It's the question you and I have kind of been talking about for a while now.

Veronika: I think listening to you, many people would not agree to be a part of this new normal.

Dr. Robert Malone: Well, that's nice to say. If they're willing to listen and think.

Veronika: First time we spoke Dr. Malone here at this forum, you said that when you speak to people of all walks of life, regardless of their educational level, they all sense that something is deeply wrong about the covid crisis and beyond. Like you said, the green agenda and the weaponization of the banking system. You don't have to be super smart. You don't have to be the inventor of mRNA vaccines to know that it has nothing to do with the United States Constitution. It has nothing to do with your civil liberties and the world that they are trying to build for you and for your children and your grandchildren is not a cozy place.

You can just feel it.

Is the CIA still representing the interests of the American public. I don't think it is. I think it represents the interests of this global oligarchy.

Dr. Robert Malone: Yes. What's the quote?

"If you want a vision of the future, imagine a boot stamping on a human face—forever."—George Orwell

Yeah. I don't want to sound so grim, but it's not a pretty picture. It absolutely has to do with information control, artificial intelligence, this transhumanist agenda that we've talked about. All these things, all come together in this central group of issues that have to do with control and controlled populations, controlled behavior. It's basically the CCP model applied to the West.

James Lindsay gave a great talk the other day when I was with him on the hill for the White Coat Summit, in which he talked about American Maoism, that there are these established practices that have been developed by great political leaders.

Whatever you think of Chairman Mao, he was very good at what he did and had a lot of insights, and that gave rise to the modern China that we know. Lindsay makes a strong case that we're seeing that same process deployed here in the United States, and very effectively.

We see it in all of this evidence that we individually respond to, such as the trans agenda and these various social issues that we see brought forward again and again and again and again that are so incredibly disruptive.

That cycle of alignment, disruption, and then realignment is the key to Mao's genius.

That is absolutely what we're seeing going on here—to the extent that this phrase "The New Normal" has become almost quaint, right?

We hear it again and again and again. What does it mean?

The new normal is the realignment part. We had the old normal. We go through a period of disruption and then we're able to create a new consensus, and that is cycled again and again and again.

"The Great Reset" is another one. Again and again and again.

Now it is climate change agenda, which makes no sense. If we were really interested in reducing CO2 emissions, it would be about creating energy independence, because energy is really the only form of wealth when it all comes down to it.

Energy is what lifts humans out of poverty.

Energy is what feeds nation states.

Energy is everything.

What we've written about in the green colonialism Substack essay, is that the World Bank and others have been enlisted to try to force least developed countries with large natural gas resources to not use their natural gas resources. Otherwise, the west won't capitalize these countries to build the necessary infrastructure.

Instead, they have to build solar-powered facilities and wind-powered facilities that are incredibly expensive and inefficient, which by the way, the people selling all the stuff in the west to these least developed countries are making profit on.

The banks are making profit on.

Everybody's making profit except for the poor people in these least developed countries that are sitting on enormous natural resources, which is what loops it back to the Kissinger Report.

The U.S. Government is explicitly stating in that report we want to keep those populations down and underdeveloped because otherwise they will consume the resources that we want to consume.

The whole thing is just a politic nightmare, ethically.

We have taken realpolitik, which Kissinger and Brzezinski were the main champion of, and normalized it as the ethic of the American imperial state. That is, we will do anything in order to advance the economic interests of the state.

Really when you look below the covers, the truth is that these public-private partnerships and a lot of this stuff (including this globalized economic activity) is not benefiting the American citizen.

The poor folks in the flyover states that used to build things and work the land and have farms and be productive, they have been decimated economically by these policies.

These policies are advancing the interest of a very small fraction of the population, which happens to no longer believe in the nation state.

We call them globalists, and their financial organizations are BlackRock, State Street, Vanguard, et cetera.

We can go down the list where these large "passive" investment funds are they are extracting capital from different nation states, including the United States, and pulling it into funds which are no longer coupled to the source nation state. Basically, if you're going to go just using the language of Marx, the surplus value is being extracted from the nation states and their populations, then consolidated into these very large funds and their owners.

Then these are searching the world for best return on investment in an agnostic way. Basically, your wealth is being extracted from you and used to set up offshore competition that makes it so that you can no longer make a living, but it doesn't matter to them because they're now decoupled from the actual citizens, and our administrative state is beholden to that financial caste.

They are no longer responsive to the general populace.

I think the covid crisis and the mismanagement of the covid crisis makes that really, really clear.

You ask what is the agenda of the CIA?

Is the CIA still representing the interests of the American public? I don't think it is. I think it represents the interests of this global oligarchy.