

Eye on the World

Feb. 12, 2022

This compilation of material for "Eye on the World" is presented as a service to the Churches of God. The views stated in the material are those of the writers or sources quoted by the writers, and do not necessarily reflect the views of the members of the Church of God Big Sandy. The following articles were posted at churchofgodbigandy.com for the weekend of Feb. 12, 2022.

Compiled by Dave Havir

Luke 21:34-36—"But take heed to yourselves, lest your souls be weighed down with self-indulgence, and drunkenness, or the anxieties of this life, and that day come on you suddenly, like a falling trap; for it will come on all dwellers on the face of the whole earth. But beware of slumbering; and every moment pray that you may be fully strengthened to escape from all these coming evils, and to take your stand in the presence of the Son of Man" (Weymouth New Testament).



An article by Oliver L. North titled "Woke Policies Kill Cops" was posted at townhall.com on Feb. 8, 2022. Following is the article.

When NYPD officers Jason Rivera and Wilbert Mora were brutally gunned down in Harlem recently, the truth about the pro-criminal, anti-law-enforcement policies of the left became clear: Woke policies kill cops. There are a lot of so-called progressive public officials in America who view violent, recidivistic criminals as poor victims while viewing loyal, dedicated police officers as oppressive bullies.

These are the same public officials who did nothing to protect their citizens as protest marches in the aftermath of George Floyd's death morphed into violent riots in which mobs burned, looted and trashed more than 30 major American cities. These are the same pro-criminal, anti-law enforcement officials who hypocritically referred to anarchists, looters and arsonists as "peaceful protestors." These are the same public officials whose woke policies made 2021 the deadliest year on record for cops.

It's bad enough police officers in cities controlled by the left go in harm's way every day without the appreciation, support or protection they deserve, but now they must contend with criminals whose attitudes toward the police have been colored by the disdain and contempt of irresponsible public officials. When public officials defund police departments, refuse to prosecute crimi-

nals who have been arrested, return violent felons to the streets without requiring them to post bail and parole convicts who should never see the outside of a prison, is it any wonder bad actors among us view law enforcement officers as little more than convenient targets?

Violent crime soared in the aftermath of the “defund the police” movement, as did violence against police officers. Incidents of assault, gunfire, stabbings and vehicular attacks against police reached an all-time high. In 2021, 518 law enforcement officers died in the line of duty. Of these, 62 were shot, 14 stabbed, 17 run down by vehicles and 5 assaulted. States experiencing the highest number of cop killings in 2021 included—not surprisingly—California, New York and Pennsylvania. All these states have cities controlled by “progressive” governments that defunded their police departments.

The most prevalent cause of death for police officers in 2021 was covid-19. When you consider this fact, think back to big-city riots in the aftermath of Floyd’s death. In left-leaning cities that were supposed to be locked down, police officers were confronted face-to-face, day after day by screaming, spitting rioters, many of whom were, no doubt, infected by covid-19. These infected rioters might as well have been shooting at the police. Law-abiding citizens in these cities were locked down, but rioters were allowed to run amok.

The blatant disdain of the left for police was demonstrated conclusively on Jan. 27 during the funeral for slain NYPD officer Rivera when actress Jacqueline Guzman posted a video rant criticizing the NYPD for blocking off streets to accommodate the funeral. Guzman complained that streets were blocked off because “. . . one cop died for probably doing his job incorrectly.” According to Guzman, “They (NYPD police officers) kill people . . . every single day for no good reason, and we don’t shut down the city for them.”

Guzman’s despicable rant was taken down and she was fired from her acting job, but these things don’t change what she said, nor do they alter the truth about how the left views police officers. To the left, criminals are the good guys, and cops are the bad guys. We have a suggestion that might change the attitudes of so-called progressive public officials toward police officers: The next time their woke policies cause the death of a cop, lock them up. Let these public officials who like to coddle violent criminals share a cell with one of their “poor victims” for a week or two. The charge? We suggest accessory to murder.



An article by Marc A. Thiessen titled “Biden Blocked the First Black Woman From the Supreme Court” was posted at [washingtonpost.com](https://www.washingtonpost.com) on Feb. 1, 2022. Following is the article.

President Biden wants credit for nominating the first Black woman to the Supreme Court. But here is the shameful irony: As a senator, Biden warned President George W. Bush that if he nominated the first Black woman to serve on the Supreme Court, he would filibuster and kill her nomination.

The story begins in 2003, when Bush nominated Judge Janice Rogers Brown to serve on the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit. The D.C. Circuit is considered the country's second-most important court, and has produced more Supreme Court justices than any other federal court.

Brown was immediately hailed as a potential Supreme Court nominee. She was highly qualified, having served for seven years as an associate justice of the California Supreme Court—the first Black woman to do so. She was the daughter and granddaughter of sharecroppers, and grew up in rural Alabama during the dark days of segregation, when her family refused to enter restaurants or theaters with separate entrances for Black customers. She rose from poverty and put herself through college and UCLA law school as a working single mother. She was a self-made African American legal star. But she was an outspoken conservative—so Biden set out to destroy her.

- Biden and his fellow Democrats filibustered her nomination, along with several other Bush circuit court nominees, all of whom had majority support in the Senate.

- Columnist Robert Novak called it “the first full-scale effort in American history to prevent a president from picking the federal judges he wants.” Democrats argued that she was out of the legal mainstream, but Republicans responded that she had written more majority opinions than any other justice on the California Supreme Court—and she was reelected with 76 percent of the vote, the highest percentage of all the justices on the ballot.

When Democrats derailed her nomination, Bush renominated her in 2005. Brown was eventually confirmed by a vote of 56 to 43—after Democrats released her and several other Bush nominees in exchange for Republican agreement not to eliminate the filibuster for judicial nominations. Biden voted a second time against her nomination. He never explained why, if Brown was so radical, Democrats let her through but killed 10 other Bush nominees.

The following month, when Justice Sandra Day O'Connor announced her retirement, Brown was on Bush's short list to replace her. She would have been the first Black woman ever nominated to serve as an associate justice of the Supreme Court.

- But Biden appeared on CBS's “Face the Nation” to warn that if Bush nominated Brown, she would face a filibuster. “I can assure you that would be a very, very, very difficult fight and she probably would be filibustered,” Biden said. Asked by moderator John Roberts “Wasn't she just confirmed?,” Biden replied that the Supreme Court is a “totally different ballgame” because “a circuit court judge is bound by stare decisis. They don't get to make new law.”

- What Biden threatened was unprecedented. There has never been a successful filibuster of a nominee for associate justice in the history of the republic.

- Biden wanted to make a Black woman the first in history to have her nomination killed by filibuster. Bush eventually nominated Samuel A. Alito Jr.

- Today, Biden calls the filibuster a “relic of the Jim Crow era.”

- But he threatened to use that relic as a tool to keep a Black woman who actually lived under Jim Crow off the highest court in the land.
- The irony is that now he wants to get rid of the filibuster, and claim credit for putting the first Black woman on the court.

There were many conservatives on Bush's shortlist whose legal philosophy Biden opposed. But Biden only promised to filibuster the one Black woman. Why? Perhaps a clue lies in another confirmation fight that Biden helped wage. In 2001, Democrats blocked the nomination of Miguel Estrada to serve on the U.S. Court of Appeals for the D.C. Circuit. According to internal strategy memos obtained by the Wall Street Journal, they targeted Estrada at the request of liberal interest groups who said Estrada was "especially dangerous" because "he is Latino, and the White House seems to be grooming him for a Supreme Court appointment." They did not want Republicans to put the first Hispanic on the Supreme Court. So, Biden and his fellow Democrats killed Estrada's nomination—the first appeals court nominee in history to be successfully filibustered. It paid off when President Barack Obama nominated Sonia Sotomayor as the first Hispanic justice.

Democrats' commitment to diversity is a ruse.

Biden was willing to destroy the careers of an accomplished Latino lawyer and a respected Black female judge, and stop Republicans from putting either on the Supreme Court. For Democrats, it's all about identity politics. Indeed, Biden might not have become president had he not made the pledge to nominate a Black woman. That promise helped secure the endorsement of Rep. James E. Clyburn (D-S.C.)—which won Biden the South Carolina primary and rescued his faltering campaign.

So, when Biden tries to bask in the glory of his historic nomination, remember Janice Rogers Brown—the Black woman who does not sit on the Supreme Court today because of Biden's disgraceful obstruction.



An article by Keith Ablow titled "A Triple Threat to Freedom of Speech" was posted at townhall.com on Feb. 6, 2022. Following is the article.

The United States government, Big Tech and Cancel Culture have combined into a triple threat to the American Constitutional Republic American by laying siege to the Bill of Rights, particularly the right to freedom of speech.

Examples abound.

GoFundMe has interfered with the use of millions of dollars raised on its platform by the "Freedom Convoy" of Canadian truckers.

YouTube has banned Dan Bongino from its platform for voicing opinions about covid-19 which are not the same as those from the Centers of Disease Control. Spotify has begun posting disclaimers on Joe Rogan podcast episodes

that discuss covid-19, with Cancel Culture musicians, including Neil Young, Joni Mitchell and Nils Lofgren threatening to pull their music from Spotify, if the company did not throttle Rogan's interviews with guests questioning the prevailing CDC doctrine about preventing and treating covid-19.

It is clearly the case that government is exerting much of this censorship by proxy. Witness, for example, White House Press Secretary Jen Psaki applauding Spotify for posting disclaimers on the Rogan podcast episodes and urging that "more can be done" to "call out . . . disinformation while also uplifting accurate information."

Perhaps the most chilling form of censorship is the self-censorship set in motion by this triple threat of government, Big Tech and Cancel Culture. A new survey from The Foundation for Individual Rights in Education (FIRE) found that nearly 6 in 10 Americans believe Cancel Culture is a direct threat to our freedom. It is now commonplace for Americans to fear stating their opinions, lest they be ostracized, fired, humiliated, and, perhaps, left unable to earn a living. Many worry over not parroting ideas with which they secretly disagree, for fear of being labeled as unenlightened enemies of the Cancel Culture mob.

Strong incentives now motivate more people than ever to not say what they truly mean. This can greatly diminish the quality of communication in this nation. Real exchanges of ideas and real problem solving is impossible if people won't say what they really think.

Joe Rogan just became a famous example of how Big Tech platforms are subject not only to government coercion, but also to mob rule. The whole triple threat. Recording artists including Neil Young, Joni Mitchell and Nils Lofgren threatened to pull their music from Spotify, if Spotify did not throttle Rogan's interviews with guests who question the prevailing CDC doctrine about the prevention and treatment of covid-19.

The government rewards massive platforms like Facebook and Twitter with incredibly valuable protections from civil liabilities for publishing material that turns out to cause harm. Section 230 of Title 47 of the US Code treats those companies much like wireless operators (e.g. AT&T)—simply transmitting communications, not picking and choosing amongst them. This preferential treatment persists, even though Facebook and Twitter clearly do suppress certain kinds of content. Why, then, don't they lose their protections? Because the censorship they exert is government censorship by proxy.

If centralized platforms can play emperor of the airwaves or Internet at the subtle or overt urging of government, don't think for a moment that wireless operators themselves will be immune to monitoring your communications and eventually shutting down some that the ruling elite politicians don't like.

There is no reason to assume that having too many conversations on your mobile phone during which you speak negatively about mask mandates won't, one day, during a future pandemic, get your phone turned off or the credit card you use to pay for it terminated. After all, the Patriot Act greenlighted eavesdropping on the communications of anyone who could represent a threat to America. Talk too much about how masks have a downside, and you may well qualify.

Centralized platforms will just enslave us more and more. There is no virtue in a government that usurps its citizens' inalienable rights by leveraging willing companies to disrupt free commerce or the free marketplace of ideas.

The marketplace—when free of centralized control—is the best processor of information in the world.

The only way to resurrect and ensure freedom of speech and our other inalienable rights, as codified in the Bill of Rights, such that we remain a Republic, is to build alternative, decentralized platforms for the exchange of ideas and the exchange of money. These platforms function essentially as bulletin boards where ideas are posted or transactions are recorded, without any tyrannical politician, CEO, intelligentsia or mob “in control” of what those ideas are, who gets to read them, who gets to listen to them or watch them, or who gets to exchange funds, or for what.



An article by Ben Shapiro titled “The Attack on Joe Rogan Is an Attack on Dissent” was posted at creators.com on Feb. 9, 2022. Following is the article.

Joe Rogan must be stopped.

This is the consensus from all of the wisest and most compassionate voices in our society. According to the White House, Spotify should not merely lead off Rogan's covid-19-centric episodes with a content warning; according to press secretary Jen Psaki, “our view is that it is a positive step, but that there is more that can be done.” According to CNN's Brian Stelter, host of the ironically named “Reliable Sources”: “He is now apologizing. And we're going to find out if that's enough for Spotify, the company that has an exclusive distribution deal with him.” Has-been rocker Neil Young agrees; he, along with some other washed-up old hippie musicians, has taken his music off of Spotify to protest Rogan.

What was Rogan's great sin? To hear the media tell it, his great sin was covid-19 “misinformation.” Now, this is a rather vague charge, given the fact that our public health authorities have informed us over the course of the past two years that lockdowns were effective, cloth masks worked, masking of children was necessary, vaccines prevented transmission, natural immunity was inferior to vaccine immunity, and the virus could not have originated with a Chinese lab leak—all pieces of misinformation later reversed. Nonetheless, Rogan vowed to become more informed and to have on more diverse guests with regard to covid-19 and vaccines.

When Spotify didn't deplatform Rogan over *that* charge, the rationale for his demanded deplatforming morphed: now Rogan was a racist for saying the N-word while quoting rap lyrics years ago. After a Left-wing activist group promoted a compendium video of Rogan using such language, Rogan apologized again. But apologies aren't the point. No one actually thinks Rogan is a racist.

For the radical Left, you either become a tool in their arsenal or you become an object lesson. And Rogan has now become an object lesson.

He has become an object lesson for two main reasons. First, Rogan has steadfastly refused to toe the party line with regard to President Joe Biden; ire broke out against Rogan in 2020 for the crime of noting that Biden appeared “mentally compromised” in the middle of an election that the media had declared a turning point in the future of democracy. Second, Rogan has hosted guests who do not simply repeat the nostrums of the Left on a wide variety of issues. That’s why the Left has demanded that Spotify remove old episodes of his show entirely: Alternative voices must not be heard, and Rogan has the unfortunate habit of talking to such voices for hours at a time and letting them say their piece.

There are several takeaways from the Rogan dust-up.

- First, corporate overlords are absolutely gutless.

CEO Daniel Ek believed that picking up the exclusive license to Rogan’s show would increase listenership and advertising dollars; he was clearly blindsided by the blowback, to the extent that he issued a mewling letter to the company’s woke interns begging their forgiveness for their hurt feelings.

- Second, the media are not interested in freedom of speech as a principle.

They’re interested in freedom of speech for themselves and no one else. It’s been fascinating to watch the evolution of our treasured Journalismers (TM) from guardians of the First Amendment to attack dogs on behalf of Big Tech censorship of their perceived enemies.

- Third, apologizing to insincere radical Left alligators is always a mistake.

Their goal is not a conversation. Their goal is destruction. If they find you useful, they may allow you to become Reek to their House Bolton; if they don’t, you become Ned Stark to their Joffrey.

Rogan will survive all of this. Perhaps Spotify ends up paying him a bag of cash to leave, and he takes his audience and goes elsewhere, tanking Spotify’s stock price on his way out the door. That would be precisely what Spotify deserves for their cowardice. But no matter what happens, the lesson will be learned by those who don’t have Rogan’s audience: shut up or face the whirlwind. And most will shut up.



An article by Betsy McCaughey titled “Freedom Truckers v. GoFundMe Leftists” was posted at townhall.com on Feb. 9, 2022. Following is the article.

When GoFundMe shut down funding Friday for the truckers’ Freedom Convoy, it didn’t just clobber Canadian rig drivers; it dealt a blow to the rights of Americans. Silicon Valley executives are trying to limit the causes Americans support, favoring leftists and canceling conservatives.

We Americans have a constitutionally protected right to donate money to whatever causes we choose, the Supreme Court ruled in *Buckley v. Valeo*. Money funds political activity, and limiting where we can donate is like gagging our speech.

Canadian truckers launched a convoy to Ottawa last month to protest Prime Minister Justin Trudeau's vaccine mandate on all rig drivers. Never mind the absurdity of requiring a truck driver alone behind the wheel for 18 hours a day to be vaccinated.

The convoy set up a fundraising site on GoFundMe to pay for fuel, food and lodging. Many Americans rushed to support it. It had reached \$10 million in donations when GoFundMe pulled the plug.

Nearly one-quarter of Americans donate on crowdfunding sites, according to Pew Research. GoFundMe is the largest. It's the public square for fundraising, and it should be open to all, regardless of their politics.

Yet GoFundMe shuts down fundraising for causes the left doesn't like. That's as dangerous to our democracy as when other Silicon Valley tech giants like Facebook and Twitter silence viewpoints.

The Freedom Convoy reached Ottawa Jan. 29 and has clogged the city's streets with 18-wheelers and demonstrators calling for health care freedom and an end to overreaching government covid mandates.

GoFundMe claims it shut down the truckers because of "reports of violence and other unlawful activity" violating the company's terms of service.

That's a joke. Even CNN reports "no bloodshed" has occurred. The New York Times concedes the worst is snarled traffic and blaring truck horns.

As of Friday evening, when GoFundMe permanently shut the site down, there had been only three arrests despite thousands protesting. As of Sunday, police reported more arrests and investigations, but the number is still minuscule overall. Ottawa Mayor Jim Watson's biggest complaint is that the truckers are showing "insensitivity" by creating noise and "turning it into a party."

Compare that with the mayhem and violence in Portland, Oregon, in 2020. Truth is, GoFundMe had no problem with that disorder. The site raised hundreds of thousands of dollars for the Portland General Defense Committee to defend rioters who set fire to police stations, vandalized city hall, wielded weapons and injured police officers.

Even now, GoFundMe is raising money for Black Lives Matter NYC to engage in "civil disobedience and disruption." GoFundMe likes BLM's brand of civil disobedience.

The framers of the Constitution banned government from censoring, but they didn't anticipate Big Tech. Democrats are happy to deputize Silicon Valley lefties to muzzle the deplorables and prevent an exchange of ideas. And money.

Democrats in Congress insist Big Tech should stamp out "misinformation." Trudeau slammed the truckers for spreading "misinformation."

Who decides what's misinformation? Justice Oliver Wendell Holmes Jr. said the "best test of truth" is whether it survives in "the competition of the market." Silicon Valley is snuffing out competition.

There are two remedies.

- The first is for Congress to regulate Big Tech like public utilities or common carriers, compelling them to serve all customers without viewpoint discrimination. Water companies and railroads can't deny service to customers with unpopular opinions. Big Tech should operate by the same rules. Unfortunately, such legislation is unlikely to pass. About half of Democratic members' stock holdings are in Big Tech, compared with only 14% for Republicans.

- The second remedy is the Supreme Court. It's possible the majority will limit Big Tech censorship when a case arises.

In April 2021, Justice Clarence Thomas warned, in a concurring opinion, that the CEOs of the social media giants—a mere handful of executives—have the power to exclude even a president of the United States from the digital public square.

Thomas appears poised to rule that the First Amendment bans censorship not only by government but also by social media.

GoFundMe's attack on the Freedom Convoy is the latest red flag that Silicon Valley's power over us has to be stopped.



An article by James Stansbury titled "The Covid Narrative Is Falling Apart" was posted at americanthinker.com on Feb. 2, 2022. Following is the article.

Joe Biden claims this is a pandemic of the unvaccinated and wants everyone in the world vaxxed with the "safe and effective" vaccines. In addition, he plans to distribute millions of "effective" N-95 masks. Anyone paying attention knows this is a big lie. Masks may be a comforting placebo, but even the N95s don't work as advertised. And new revelations about dangerous vaccine side effects have surfaced despite media suppression.

New Virginia governor Glenn Youngkin made masks and vaccinations optional for state employees and public schools. Immediately some parents, teachers, and school boards threw a hissy fit (at least seven lawsuits have resulted so far). Meanwhile, those who voted for him see this as much-needed relief from a pandemic that has run its course.

Why is there so much disagreement? The late Malcolm Muggeridge put it this way; "People do not believe lies because they have to, but because they want to."

Think about it; the Left and their media allies have terrified our children and ordinary citizens so much that they desperately want to believe masks and vax mandates are the only answer. Anyone who disagrees must be silenced,

socially destroyed, or even allowed to die by withholding organ transplants or life-saving therapeutics.

I was taught the only way to fight a lie is with the truth. However, I also know those who placed all their hope in the big covid lie will do whatever it takes to silence anyone who disagrees. Just ask Joe Rogan about being silenced. It is nearly impossible to overcome emotion with facts, but I will present some anyway.

1—Alarming vax side effects reported by military whistleblowers.

This story made a few waves last week when Sen. Ron Johnson (R-Wis.) included a mention in his five-hour Senate hearing. The information came from the Defense Medical Epidemiology Database (DMED), a mundane accounting tool used to track all medical diagnoses of military personnel and dependents for insurance billing purposes. DMED did better than the CDC's ineffective Vaccine Adverse Event Reporting System (VAERS).

Following is a summary of the most alarming vax side effects:

- Myocardial infarction (heart attack)—269% increase
- Cancer diagnoses—300% increase
- Miscarriage—300% increase
- Bell's palsy—291% increase
- Congenital malformations (for children of military personnel)—156% increase
- Female infertility—471% increase
- Pulmonary embolisms—467% increase
- Neurological issues—1,000% increase

2—Athletes are mysteriously dying of sudden heart attacks.

In Dec. 2021, Lorphic News, a non-traditional news source reported on the extraordinary number of physically fit, fully vaccinated athletes experiencing fatal heart attacks. This claim was systematically denied by most news sources.

However, a chart compiled by the Federation Internationale de Football [soccer] Association (FIFA) confirms this is real and like the above military data, is based on a large sample size. FIFA has member athletes from 209 countries and has routinely tracked athlete health data for 20 years.

Their long-term data confirms a fivefold increase in sudden cardiac deaths in only six months of 2021.

3—Vaccines now have negative efficacy.

Data has surfaced claiming that continued use of the original vax is causing negative efficacy. Negative efficacy doesn't mean the protection fades. It means the opposite: it means the vaccines are now weakening the immune system.

It became clear that countries with the highest vax rates were the most likely to experience a surge in both cases and deaths. You can monitor five representative countries' daily death rates here.

Note that India is included because India was the only one to allow the widespread early use of inexpensive therapeutics. Initially, their protocol included hydroxychloroquine but when the more deadly delta variant hit they switched to a protocol that included ivermectin. India had far better results than the others in taming covid.

In the above sample, Australia had the most severe police state lockdowns, mask mandates, and a high vax rate of around 80%. After some initial success, it has suddenly gone from near zero cases and deaths to having an explosion in both.

Since spring of 2021 Sweden, noted for its minimal mandates, had maintained near-zero cases and deaths as a result of achieving herd immunity early. All that changed when for reasons unknown Sweden pushed vaccinations despite the herd immunity achievement. As a result, Sweden's flat-lined case and death rate also spiked, thus indicating its herd immunity achievement is compromised by vax negative efficacy.

4—Omicron provides long-lasting natural immunity.

A peer-reviewed article titled "Cross-reactive memory T cells associate with protection against SARS-CoV-2 infection in covid-19 contacts" published Jan. 10 in the scientific journal *Nature* provided a warning and some encouragement: Once widespread infection (such as the Omicron wave) occurs across the world, the virus will eventually circulate endemically, meaning that infections may still happen but with milder symptoms and much less mortality.

There are two reasons that the transition from pandemic to endemic didn't happen until Omicron

- First, all the widely used vaccines are based on the spike protein, which doesn't induce a protective long-lasting T-cell response.
- Second, natural immunity wasn't widespread.

In other words, the current vaccines too narrowly target only the original Alpha spike protein and ignore the rest of the virus proteins. This inhibits the production of long-lasting memory T-cells that can more readily adapt to new variants and this omission for those most heavily vaccinated appears to have changed omicron from mild to more dangerous.

Conclusions

Considering the dangerous vax side effects described above, it's time to recognize that covid is becoming endemic like the closely related common cold or seasonal flu. This means the safest way for the unvaccinated to produce the necessary long-lasting memory T-cells may be by catching omicron.

For those facing omicron with an immune system weakened by multiple vaxes and boosters, age, or comorbidities, an emergency use authorization by the FDA for the therapeutics (chiefly ivermectin) India used could be a life-saver. However, the political climate today makes FDA authorization unlikely—many hospitals have already allowed patients to die rather than try these proven, safe therapeutics. And last week, effective monoclonal antibody treatments have mysteriously lost their FDA approval.

Therefore, it wouldn't hurt to fortify your immune system with a daily dose of over-the-counter vitamin D₃, C, and zinc (all are included in India's covid kit). Around 80 to 85 percent of people who died from covid were deficient in these vitamins and the most vulnerable are senior citizens and the obese (because fat cells absorb vitamin D before it can reach where needed).

I'm no doctor so ask yours for dose rates since each can vary widely by weight, age, etc. We are still on our own for an early treatment option so it may be better to contact an organization of rebel doctors like the Front Line covid-19 Critical Care Alliance (FLCCC).

U.K. PM Boris Johnson did the right thing by ending all vax and mask mandates and letting nature take its course. (The Remnant features an excellent analysis of the politics behind the covid tyranny.) Denmark has just followed his lead.

Will 50,000 fearless Canadian truckers succeed in bringing a strong enough emotion to Canada or the U.S. to end the mandates?



An article by Katie Pavlich titled "CDC Makes Major Admission About Rushed Vaccine Timeline and Heart Inflammation" was posted at townhall.com on Feb. 7, 2022. Following is the article.

The Centers for Disease Control is considering changing the timeline for the administration of a second Moderna and Pfizer Wuhan coronavirus vaccine dose. The consideration comes after a rushed timeline caused heart inflammation in a number of patients.

"Dr. Sara Oliver, an official at the U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), said the agency was considering making the recommendation for Moderna (MRNA.O) and Pfizer (PFE.N)/BioNTech shots during a meeting of the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices, a panel of outside advisers to the CDC," Reuters reports.

Currently, the CDC recommends individuals receive their second dose of the Moderna and Pfizer vaccines three and four weeks after an initial dose. The government agency may now recommend a second dose eight weeks after the first.

In October a number of European countries limited Moderna's use due to an alarming number of myocarditis cases.

The Swedish health agency said on Oct. 6, 2021 it would pause using the shot for people born in 1991 and later as data pointed to an increase of myocarditis and pericarditis among youths and young adults who had been vaccinated. Those conditions involve an inflammation of the heart or its lining.

Meanwhile an Oxford University study show the risk of myocarditis for young people is higher from the vaccine than it is from natural infection.

"In under 40-year-old people . . . dose two of Moderna has exceeded the risk of myocarditis after infection with a second dose," Dr. Vinay Prasad said about the study. "There have been many people who have been reluctant to take serious the risks of myocarditis after the Moderna product."

"If you're under 40 and if you pool men and women together, there is more myocarditis associated with dose two of Moderna than there is with an infection. That is a bombshell finding," he continued.



A video and an article by Katie Pavlich titled "Bill Maher Hits Another Home Run Against the 'Medical Industrial Complex' " were posted at townhall.com on Feb. 7, 2022. Following is the article.

Television host Bill Maher went after the medical establishment during his HBO show Friday night and ran through a long record of government officials being wrong about major health issues facing the country.

"When people ask me, 'Why are you so skeptical of what the medical establishment tells us?' I say, 'Because I've seen them react to a virus before,'" Maher said, citing the AIDs crisis in the 1980s. 'Instead of being precise and focusing on who should be protected, we launched a fear campaign about how AIDs was going to explode into the heterosexual community."

"The upshot of bad information was that in the late 1980s, low risk Americans were swamping testing facilities and diverting our attention and energy away from the truly at risk. New York in 2020 learned the hard way how much better precision would have been in protecting the nursing homes. Contrary to popular lore, covid is not Russian roulette. Of course any virus, any thing, can kill anyone at anytime," Maher continued. "But we know who covid kills. Seventy-five percent of covid deaths are people 65 and older, 98-99 percent are unvaccinated, 78 percent who have died or hospitalized or overweight. If you're obese and unvaccinated or 85 and still crowd surfing at music festivals, yes, this will likely go badly for you. But at some point that has to stop being my responsibility. Doesn't it make more sense to focus on helping the vulnerable stay safe and let the rest of us go back to living normal lives."

Meanwhile, Democratic politicians are finally coming to their senses in some parts of the country.



A video and an article by Matthew Miller titled "WATCH: Tucker Carlson Says 'for Sure' Fidel Castro Is Justin Trudeau's Father" were posted at washingtonexaminer.com on Feb. 4, 2022. Following is the article.

Tucker Carlson said that Cuban communist dictator Fidel Castro was “for sure” the father of left-wing Canadian Prime Minister Justin Trudeau. A Thursday evening Fox News segment featured Carlson quizzing Jeanine Pirro and Lawrence Jones on which famous dictator is rumored to be the real father of Trudeau.

Jones guessed Moammar Gadhafi, which Carlson said was incorrect before playing a clip from a documentary that speculates Margaret Trudeau, the prime minister’s mother, had an affair with Castro and called him a “charmer,” adding, “You know why he’s in power.”

“Did Margaret Trudeau bear the love child of Fidel Castro, and does he now run Canada? And of course, the answer is, ‘For sure,’” Carlson said.

The rumor of Castro being Trudeau’s father grew to such proportions that the Canadian government issued a denial in 2018, clarifying that the Trudeaus did not visit Cuba until years after Justin was born. [However, other news sources reported that Pierre and Margaret Trudeau had earlier visited Cuba during their honeymoon in March 1971.]

Justin Trudeau was born on Dec. 25, 1971, to then-Canadian Prime Minister Pierre Trudeau and his wife, Margaret.



Isaiah 55:6-11—“Seek you the LORD while He may be found, call upon Him while He is near. Let the wicked forsake his way, and the unrighteous man his thoughts; let him return to the LORD, and He will have mercy on him; and to our God, for He will abundantly pardon. ‘For My thoughts are not your thoughts, nor are your ways My ways,’ says the LORD. For as the heavens are higher than the earth, so are My ways higher than your ways, and My thoughts than your thoughts. For as the rain comes down, and the snow from heaven, and do not return there, but water the earth, and make it bring forth and bud, that it may give seed to the sower and bread to the eater, so shall My word be that goes forth from My mouth; it shall not return to Me void, but it shall accomplish what I please, and it shall prosper in the thing for which I sent it.”