

Eye on the World

Nov. 7, 2020

This compilation of material for "Eye on the World" is presented as a service to the Churches of God. The views stated in the material are those of the writers or sources quoted by the writers, and do not necessarily reflect the views of the members of the Church of God Big Sandy. The following articles were posted at churchofgodbigandy.com for the weekend of Nov. 7, 2020.

Compiled by Dave Havir

Luke 21:34-36—"But take heed to yourselves, lest your souls be weighed down with self-indulgence, and drunkenness, or the anxieties of this life, and that day come on you suddenly, like a falling trap; for it will come on all dwellers on the face of the whole earth. But beware of slumbering; and every moment pray that you may be fully strengthened to escape from all these coming evils, and to take your stand in the presence of the Son of Man" (Weymouth New Testament).



An article by Tovah Lzaroff titled "UN Speaks of Temple Mount Solely Muslim Site, Ignores Jewish Ties" was posted at jpost.com on Nov. 5, 2020. Following is the article.

Some 138 United Nations countries approved a draft resolution that spoke of the Temple Mount solely as an Islamic holy site, by referencing it only by its Muslim name of al-Haram al-Sharif.

It was one of seven pro-Palestinian, anti-Israel resolutions that the UN General Assembly's Fourth Committee in New York passed on Wednesday.

The resolution was titled, "Israeli practices affecting the human rights of the Palestinian people in the Occupied Palestinian Territory, including east Jerusalem."

The text did affirm the connection between Jerusalem and three monotheistic religions: Judaism, Christianity and Islam. Still the reference in the text to the Temple Mount, the location of the ancient Jewish Temple and the most holy site in Judaism, solely by its Muslim name is viewed as an attempt by UN member states to delegitimize and erase that well known history.

Israel's Ambassador to the UN Gilad Erdan called the passage of the text "a disgrace." He added, "No resolution passed here will change the eternal con-

nection between the Jewish people and the holiest site of our faith—Har HaBayit, the Temple Mount,” Erdan said.

“For years, the Palestinians have promoted language that includes only the Muslim term of “Haram al-Sharif” and purposely excludes the Jewish name—Temple Mount,” he said.

Nine countries, including Israel, voted against the resolution. These were: Australia, Canada, Guatemala, Hungary, Marshall Islands, Micronesia, Nauru and the United States.

Hungary’s opposition marked a dramatic change in policy for the country, which last year supported the text, which similarly had the al-Haram, al-Sharif reference. But Papua New Guinea which had opposed the text, last year, softened its stance this year and abstained.

All total 16 countries abstained this year, compared to 13 last year. Serbia, which opposed the resolution last year was among them. Malawi, which was absent from the vote last year.

The other countries that abstained this year were: Austria, Belarus, Cameroon, Colombia, the Czech Republic, Honduras, Kiribati, Sao Tome Principe, Slovakia, Solomon Islands, Togo, Uruguay and Vanuatu.

Overall, the number of countries that support the text also dropped from 154 countries last year.

Passage of the UN resolution took place as the Trump administration has increased its efforts to underscore Israel’s link to Jerusalem, which is the capital of the modern state of Israel. This has included pushing UN member states to relocate their embassies from Tel Aviv to Jerusalem, as a sign of affirmation that Jerusalem is Israel’s capital, something that most of the international community is loathe to recognize.

To date only the United States and Guatemala have moved their embassies to Jerusalem, but a number of others have promised to do so, such as Honduras, the Czech Republic, Brazil, Kosovo, Serbia and Malawi.

“A growing number of countries are moving their embassies to Jerusalem, our united and undivided capital,” Erdan told the Un.

“Unlike this chamber, which is detached from reality, a growing number of nations are acknowledging that Jerusalem is the undeniable capital of the Jewish people and the Jewish state,” Erdan said.

Still, some of the countries that have spoken of relocating their embassies such as the Dominican Republic and Brazil, still supported the resolution. Twenty-three European Union states did so as well.

Erdan told UN member states that their “support has emboldened the Palestinians to not only deny the Jewish connection to these sites, but to deny Muslims access to them, too, while threatening violence. By supporting these resolutions you share responsibility for this behavior.”

A German representative, who spoke on behalf of the European Union, said that in spite of the support of many of the member states, the EU opposed the solely using al-Haram, al-Sharif to describe the Temple Mount. He stressed the "need for language on the holy sites of Jerusalem to reflect the importance and historic significance of the holy sites for the three monotheistic religions."

It was almost precisely the same statement the EU made last year, along with the same warning that it might pull its support from the resolution unless that language was changed. "The future choice of language may effect the EU's support of this resolution," the German representative warned.

The Palestinian representative thanked the UN member states for their support, which is the "clearest answer to the hostile, undiplomatic statement and false accusations and distortions made by the Israeli representative in this hall."

She added that the resolutions were "fully in line with International law."



A Reuters article by Ali Sawafta titled "Israel Razes Most of Palestinian Bedouin Village in West Bank on U.S. Election Day" was posted at reuters.com on Nov. 5, 2020. Following is the article.

Israel has demolished most of a Bedouin village in the occupied West Bank, displacing 73 Palestinians—including 41 children—in the largest such demolition in years, residents and a United Nations official said.

Tented homes, animal shelters, latrines and solar panels were among the structures destroyed in the village of Khirbet Humsah on Tuesday, according to the U.N. official.

Israel's military liaison agency with the Palestinians, COGAT, confirmed that a demolition had been carried out against what it said were illegal structures.

By Thursday morning the residents had already moved back to the site, using tents donated by Palestinian aid groups, according to a Reuters witness.

The remains of the demolished village lay across the hillsides, with just two of the original homes still standing some distance from the others.

"They want to expel us from the area so that settlers can live in our place, but we will not leave from here," said resident Harbi Abu Kabsh, referring to the roughly 430,000 Israeli settlers who live alongside three million Palestinians in the West Bank, which Israel captured in a 1967 war.

COGAT on Wednesday issued a statement saying that an "enforcement activity" had been carried out by Israeli forces "against 7 tents and 8 pens which were illegally constructed, in a firing range located in the Jordan Valley."

Israel often cites a lack of building permits in demolishing Palestinian structures in the West Bank.

Yvonne Helle, a humanitarian coordinator for the United Nations in the Palestinian territories, said that relief agencies had visited Khirbet Humsah and recorded 76 demolished structures, “more than in any other single demolition in the past decade.”

Israeli human rights group B’Tselem said the structures included 18 tents and sheds.

Palestinian Prime Minister Mohammad Shtayyeh accused Israel of timing the demolition for election day in the United States, when the world was distracted.

A COGAT spokesman on Thursday had no immediate comment on Shtayyeh’s claim. But its statement on Wednesday said: “The enforcement was carried out in accordance with the authorities and procedures, and subject to operational considerations.”

Some 689 structures have been demolished across the West Bank and East Jerusalem so far this year, leaving 869 Palestinians homeless, according to the United Nations.



An article by Patrick Goodenough titled “Targeting Illegal Immigration, Macron Wants Europe’s Borders Tightened After Wave of Islamist Terror” was posted at cnsnews.com on Nov. 6, 2020. Following is the article.

French President Emmanuel Macron on Thursday called for a tightening of border controls in Europe following deadly Islamist terror attacks in France and Austria, saying the continent’s 35-year-old Schengen agreement—which allows travel between 26 countries without border controls—needs to be overhauled.

“I am in favor . . . of overhauling Schengen, rethinking its organization, and intensifying our common border security with a proper force at the external borders,” he said while visiting the border between France and Spain.

Macron said he planned to take proposals, designed to curb terror-linked illegal immigration, to a European Union leaders’ summit next month.

In order to “fight effectively against this Islamist terrorism, it is also [necessary] to fight against the networks of traffickers who have links with these terrorists—who sometimes are terrorists themselves—who use drug trafficking and human trafficking to reinforce their actions,” he said.

Macron said France would in the coming days double the number of police officers, gendarmes, and soldiers deployed at its borders with Italy and Spain, from 2,400 to 4,800.

“The attacks that France experienced, that Austria experienced a few days ago in Vienna, show us that the terrorist risk is everywhere, that networks

are globalized, that terrorists are now organizing themselves on a global basis—through networks on the one hand, the Internet and social networks, but also by sending agents across borders,” he said.

“This requires Europe to step up its response. France is doing it in terms of its borders, by doubling the forces present. Europe must now do it.”

In late September, a Pakistani stabbed two people in Paris, and in mid-October a Chechen Muslim beheaded a school teacher near the French capital. Two weeks later, a knife-wielding Tunisian terrorist killed three people at a Catholic church in Nice, just hours after entering France from Italy—where he had arrived from Tunisia five weeks earlier.

Early this week, a terrorist killed four people and injured 22 more when he opened fire at various locations in the Austrian capital, Vienna. The gunman, who was shot dead by police, held Austrian and North Macedonian citizenship, was sentenced to 22 months in prison in April 2019 for trying to travel to Syria to join ISIS, but was released early less than eight months later.

Security services in Slovakia earlier warned Austrian authorities that the ISIS sympathizer had traveled to Slovakia to buy ammunition. Austria and Slovakia are both Schengen countries; travel across their common border is not restricted (although some border controls were put in place this year due to the coronavirus pandemic.)

Macron’s proposals, if accepted, could have a significant impact on travel within Europe.

Under the Schengen agreement—first signed in 1985, between just five countries—26 nations with a combined population of around 419 million people have scrapped visas and passport controls at their common borders. Pre-coronavirus, around 3.5 million people were crossing borders within the zone daily according to E.U. estimates.

The 26 Schengen countries are: Austria, Belgium, Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Iceland, Italy, Latvia, Liechtenstein, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, and Switzerland.

All are E.U. member-states except for Iceland, Norway, Switzerland and Lichtenstein. E.U. members that have not signed up to the agreement are Ireland, Romania, Bulgaria, Croatia, and Cyprus.



“Eye on the World” comment: After this publication displays international news, it then shows news related to the United States. At this time, the editor of this publication has spent little time reading articles about the main story, the U.S. election—choosing to avoid the drama of the corrupt media, the voting shenanigans and the possible legal actions. Therefore, there are fewer articles than usual.



An article by Dennis Prager titled “Hatred of Trump vs. Hatred of the Left” was posted at townhall.com on Nov. 3, 2020. Following is the article.

One way in which today’s presidential election can be summarized is this: It is a contest between those who hate Donald Trump and those who hate the left (as I always point out, I am referring to the left, not to liberals or liberalism).

This is so accurate an assessment I suspect even most Democrats would agree with it.

They know they hate President Donald Trump. And they know conservatives (and a few courageous liberals) hate the left.

When you put it this way, it shows how superficial the anti-Trump electoral argument is. We who are not on the left base our opposition to the Democratic Party on ideas, values and our love for America, not on antipathy toward an individual. And let me assure Democrats: Most Republicans had just as much contempt for former President Barack Obama and have as much contempt for Joe Biden as Democrats have for Donald Trump. But we don’t obsess over personalities; we obsess over America.

Leftists—and the naive liberals who do not recognize the left as the mortal enemy of liberalism—are obsessed with the president’s persona. In their endlessly repeated, hate-filled descriptions of him, he is the apotheosis of evil: a dictator, narcissist, misogynist, racist, xenophobe, anti-Semite and white supremacist. He is morally and financially corrupt. He is mentally incompetent. He’s unrefined. He uses the presidency solely in order to enrich himself. His reelection will mean the end of American democracy. He has told more than 22,000 lies. He loves dictators and has contempt for America’s allies. His 2016 campaign colluded with Russia to undermine and “steal” the presidential election. He said there are “very fine” Nazis. To top it all off, he is responsible for more than 200,000 American deaths due to COVID-19.

And that’s just a partial list.

Virtually every charge is either wildly exaggerated or outright false. For instance, regarding Trump’s alleged responsibility for the American deaths due to COVID-19: Do these people hold the leaders of Belgium, Spain, Brazil, Bolivia, Chile and Ecuador—all of whom have a higher rate of death from COVID-19 than America—responsible for all of their respective countries’ deaths?

Do these people hold any other leaders in the world responsible for the COVID-19 deaths in their countries?

Do these people hold New York Gov. Andrew Cuomo responsible for the deaths in New York, the state that has had by far the highest death rate in America?

If one cared about truth, one would hold Cuomo far more responsible for New York City’s virus deaths than Trump for America’s virus deaths. But what is truth compared to hatred of Trump?

To those who hate Trump because they regard him as such a defective human being, there is nothing good he can do.

A particularly pathologic example is American Jewry, which is expected to vote 3 to 1 for Joe Biden. Presidential candidates since Bill Clinton have vowed to recognize Jerusalem as Israel's capital, which would entail moving our embassy there.

Israel was the only country in the world in which the United States had its embassy in a city other than the capital. Only Donald Trump kept his promise by actually moving the embassy. And he did so despite the dire warnings of "experts"—a group that is frequently wrong on almost every issue—that the Arab and Muslim worlds would erupt in violence. The opposite happened: His policies have led to peace between Israel and three Arab countries, with more likely to follow unless Biden and the Democratic appeasers of Iran and the Palestinians come to power.

But peace between Israel and Arab countries, moving the American embassy to Jerusalem, and the fact that Trump has a Jewish daughter, Jewish son-in-law and Jewish grandchildren all mean nothing to most American Jews, for whom The New York Times means far more than the Torah. (The proof, if needed, is that the Jews who believe in the Torah will vote overwhelmingly for Trump.) Meanwhile, 70% of Israel's Jews support Trump.

In addition, Trump did more for black America than any president since Abraham Lincoln—but, of course, that's only true if you think the lowest black unemployment rate ever recorded, prison reform, opportunity zones, support for school choice and second chances for those convicted of crimes matter.

But none of this matters to Trump haters. Their only concern for blacks is that blacks be as angry at America as possible. Angry blacks are Democratic voters. Blacks grateful to be Americans are Republican voters.

Which brings me to the competing hatred: hatred of the left.

Those who hate the left do so not because of dislike for any individual but because everything the left touches it ruins. As I have written about this at length, I will only list examples.

- Universities.
- High schools.
- Elementary schools.
- Journalism.
- Art, music, architecture.
- Late-night comedy.
- Mainstream Christianity and Judaism.
- Sports.
- Marriage and family.

- Happiness—especially among women.
- The police.
- Crime rates.
- Children’s innocence.
- Freedom of speech—for the first time in American history.
- Law and order.
- Race relations.
- History.
- Nothing in life is left unscathed.

In a nutshell, liberals build; conservatives build; leftists destroy.

And the Democratic Party is now the party of the left.

So, while the Democrats and their media focus on Donald Trump, the rest of us focus on the left and the list above.

That strikes me as the more moral concern. Between Donald Trump’s narcissism and the left’s assault on liberty; left-wing elected officials standing by as leftists smash windows and burn cities; and the left’s rewrite of American history to ensure that our children have contempt for America, a vote for Trump should be an easy call to make for anyone who loves this country.



An article by Glenn Greenwald titled “The U.S. Inability to Count Votes Is a National Disgrace and Dangerous” was posted at greenwald.com on Nov. 4, 2020. Following is the article.

The richest and most powerful country on earth—whether due to ineptitude, choice or some combination of both—has no ability to perform the simple task of counting votes in a minimally efficient or confidence-inspiring manner. As a result, the credibility of the voting process is severely impaired, and any residual authority the U.S. claims to “spread” democracy to lucky recipients of its benevolence around the world is close to obliterated.

At 7:30 a.m. ET on Wednesday, the day after the 2020 presidential elections, the results of the presidential race, as well as control of the Senate, are very much in doubt and in chaos. Watched by rest of the world—deeply affected by who rules the still-imperialist superpower—the U.S. struggles and stumbles and staggers to engage in a simple task mastered by countless other less powerful and poorer countries: counting votes. Some states are not expected to finished their vote-counting until the end of this week or beyond.

The same data and polling geniuses who pronounced that Hillary Clinton had a 90% probability or more of winning the 2016 election, and who spent the last three months proclaiming the 2020 election even more of a sure thing for the Democratic presidential candidate, are currently insisting that Biden, despite being behind in numerous key states, is still the favorite by virtue of uncounted ballots in Democrat-heavy counties in the outcome-determinative states. [One went to sleep last night with the now-notorious New York Times needle of data guru Nate Cohn assuring the country that, with more than 80% of the vote counted in Georgia, Trump had more than an 80% chance to win that state, only to wake up a few hours later with the needle now predicting the opposite outcome; that all happened just a few hours after Cohn assured everyone how much “smarter” his little needle was this time around].

NYT’s predictive needle for Georgia less than four hours later, at 12:12 a.m., early Wednesday morning.

Given the record of failures and humiliations they have quickly compiled, what rational person would trust anything they say at this point? A citizen randomly chosen from the telephone book would be as reliable if not more so for sharing predictions. And the monumental failures of the polling industry and the data nerds who leech off it, for the second consecutive national election, only serve to sow even further doubt and confusion around the electoral process.

A completely untrustworthy voting count is now the norm. Two months after the New York state primary in late June, two Congressional races were in doubt by what The New York Times called “major delays in counting a deluge of 400,000 mail-in ballots and other problems.” In particular:

Thousands more ballots in the city were discarded by election officials for minor errors, or not even sent to voters until the day before the primary, making it all but impossible for the ballots to be returned in time.

It took a full six weeks for New York to finally declare a winner in those two primary races for Congress.

The coronavirus pandemic and the shutdowns and new votings rules it ushered in have obviously complicated the process, but the U.S. failure to simply count votes with any degree of efficiency, in a way that inspires even minimal confidence in the process, pre-dates the March, 2020 nationwide lockdowns. Even if one dismisses as aberrational the protracted, Court-decided, and still-untrusted outcome of the 2000 presidential election—only four national election cycles ago—the U.S. voting process is rife with major systemic failures and doubt-sowing inefficiencies that can be explained only as a deliberate choice and/or a perfect reflection of a collapsing, crumbling empire.

Recall the mass confusion that ensued back in January, in the very first Democratic Party primary election in the Iowa caucus, where a new app created and monetized by a bunch of sleazy Democratic operatives caused massive delays, confusion and an untrustworthy outcome. Later in the process, many Super Tuesday states—including California—were still counting votes weeks or even longer after the election was held (more than a week after the Democratic

primary, California had still only counted roughly 75% of the ballots cast, depriving Bernie Sanders of a critical narrative victory on election night).

The 2018 midterm elections were also marred by pervasive irregularities. The Washington Post noted “thousands of reports of voting irregularities across the country . . . with voters complaining of broken machines, long lines and untrained poll workers improperly challenging Americans’ right to vote.”

And the full extent of the “irregularities” and treacherous outright cheating by the Democratic National Committee in the 2016 primary race between Clinton and Sanders was never fully appreciated given how pro-Clinton the press was. As just one example, “200,000 New York City voters”—many in pro-Sanders precincts—“had been illegally wiped off the rolls and prevented from voting in the presidential primary” (for one of the best-documented histories of just how pervasive were the shenanigans and cheating in the 2016 Democratic primary across multiple key states, listen to this TrueAnon episode).

However one wants to speculate about the motives for all of this, one thing is clear: It does not need to be this way. To eliminate all doubts about that fact, just look at Brazil.

After the pervasive voting problems in the 2018 midterms, I wrote an article with my Brazilian colleague Victor Pougy describing the extraordinary speed and efficiency with which Brazil—a country not exactly renowned for its speed and efficiency—counts its votes.

Brazil is not a small country. It is the fifth most-populous nation on the planet. Although its population is somewhat smaller than the U.S.’s (330 million to 210 million), its mandatory voting law, automatic registration, and 16-year-old voting age means the number of ballots to be counted is quite similar (105 million votes in Brazil’s 2018 presidential election compared to 130 million votes in the 2016 U.S. presidential election). And on the same date of its national elections, it, too, holds gubernatorial and Congressional elections in its twenty-seven states.

And yet Brazil—a much poorer and less technologically advanced country than the U.S., with a much shorter history of democracy—holds seamless, quick vote counts about which very few people harbor doubts. The elections are held on a Sunday, to ensure as many people as possible do not have work obligations to prevent voting, and polls close at 6:00 p.m.

For the 2018 presidential run-off election that led to Jair Bolsonaro’s victory, 90% of all votes were counted and the results released by 6:00 p.m. on the day of the election: the time the last state closed its polls. The full vote tally was available within a couple of hours after that. The same was true of the first-round voting held three weeks earlier—which also included races for governor, Senator and Congress in all the states: full vote totals were released by computer shortly after the polls closed and few had any doubts about their accuracy and legitimacy.

Hundreds of millions of Americans went to bed on Tuesday’s election night seeing Trump in the lead in key states, with the data experts of major outlets indicating that his victory in many of those states was highly likely. They

woke up to the opposite indication: that Biden is now a slight favorite to win several if not all of those remaining key states. But what is clear is that it will be days if not longer before the votes are fully counted, with judicial proceedings almost certain to prolong the outcomes even further.

No matter what the final result, there will be substantial doubts about its legitimacy by one side or the other, perhaps both. And no deranged conspiracy thinking is required for that. An electoral system suffused with this much chaos, error, protracted outcomes and seemingly inexplicable reversals will sow doubt and distrust even among the most rational citizens.

The next time Americans hear from their government that they need to impose democracy in other countries—through wars, invasion, bombing campaigns or other forms of clandestine CIA “interference”—they should insist that democracy first be imposed in the United States. An already frazzled, intensely polarized and increasingly hostile populace now has to confront yet another election in the richest and most technologically advanced country on earth where the votes cannot be counted in a way that inspires even minimal degrees of confidence.



An article by black conservative Larry Elder titled “Random Thoughts On and Around Election Night” was posted at townhall.com on Nov. 5, 2020. Following is the article.

What was my Election Day prediction? That I’d be drunk by midnight.

No matter what happens with the presidency, it appears, as of this writing, that Republicans have a good shot at retaining control of the Senate. So, goodbye to the “Squad”-driven left-wing agenda under a Biden presidency, especially now that President Donald Trump has given the Republicans a pair of cashews.

MSNBC host Joy Reid, a Black woman, actually referred to Supreme Court Justice Clarence Thomas as “Uncle Clarence” while insinuating Thomas is a lackey of the Republican Party. Reid’s woke on-set colleagues, Rachel Maddow and Nicolle Wallace, said nothing.

Just remember. Trump has way better lawyers.

“Fool me once, shame on me. Fool me twice, Russian disinformation.”—The polling profession.

“According to our forecast, President Trump still has a chance at a second term: a 10 percent chance, to be more specific.”—Nate Silver, statistician, Oct. 31, 2020.

The Biden landslide has been put on hold.

What happened to the smirks over at CNN? The confetti and balloons appear to be on hold over at CNN and MSNBC.

About young, conservative Black male voters, Rep. Maxine Waters, D-Calif., said, “I will never ever forgive them” for their Trump vote. But Joe Biden said,

“You ain’t Black” if you support Trump. So, whom does Waters have to “never forgive” if Blacks cease being Black for voting Trump?

Joe Biden praised son Hunter as “the smartest guy I know.” Well, we know Joe doesn’t get out much. Joe, do you mean the guy who left his incriminating laptop at a computer repair shop and didn’t pick it up for months?

Let’s stop with the stupid, condescending, divisive, insulting term “African American.” I am an AMERICAN who is Black. Congress banned importation of new slaves in 1808, 212 years ago. Why do I have a descriptive for the continental origin of my ancestors but whites who came to America later do not?

“You are relying on statistics. And you are not looking at humanity.”—Harvey Levin of TMZ responding to me when I told him that there’s “no evidence” to support the narrative of police “systemic racism” against Blacks as Levin’s Black co-host, Charles Latibeaudiere, claimed, and that he “ought to be ashamed” of himself for promoting that dangerous lie.

If “systemic racism” is such an obvious, clear-cut feature of our allegedly racist criminal justice system, where are the class-action lawsuits? Violation of certain civil rights statutes entitles plaintiffs to punitive damages. Where are the lawsuits?!?

It is a sign of racial progress that “race relations” in contemporary America are now reduced to this: How Black people feel about white people, and how white people feel about how Black people feel about white people.

When the demand for “systemic racism” exceeds the supply, a shortage results that requires the cultivation of new sources. To fill the void, leftists invented undefinable terms such as “microaggression,” “covert racism,” “disparate impact” and “my truth.”

More unarmed whites are killed by cops than are unarmed Blacks. Where’s White Lives Matter?

“The overwhelming majority (70 percent) of people killed (by police) are armed. Nearly half of all people fatally shot by police are white . . . (2016) saw . . . unarmed shootings, declining to 51, with 22 of those killed being white and 19 black.”—The Washington Post, June 8, 2020.

Democrats have long held most seats in the Philadelphia City Council, and many are “people of color.” The president of the Philadelphia City Council is Black. The Philadelphia police chief is a Black female. Two of the city’s last three mayors were Black. Yet Philadelphia cops engage in . . . “systemic racism”?!?

If worried Black parents supposedly submit their children to “The Talk” to warn them of the life and death importance of respectfully following police officers’ instructions, why do so many Blacks refuse to do so?

Where but America can a white female Supreme Court justice, Amy Coney Barrett, with two Black kids, get sworn in by a Black Supreme Court justice only to have Democrats pronounce Barrett . . . “racist”?!?

"I had to remind him that he was a Black person."—White comedian Chelsea Handler about ex-boyfriend rapper 50 Cent, who publicly announced his intention to vote for Donald Trump.

"If you have a problem figuring out whether you're for me or Trump, then you ain't Black."—Joe Biden.

Never go on "Judge Judy" without your receipts.



An article by black conservative R. Emmett Tyrrell titled "Let's Hear It for the Police" was posted at creators.com on Nov. 5, 2020. Following is the article.

My deadline for this column is Tuesday afternoon, so obviously I could not report on Tuesday's elections. I know, I know. The mainstream media already had their stories about Tuesday's presidential elections written weeks ago. It was a Biden landslide.

Donald Trump is already packing his bags and leaving town, but where will he retreat to? The entire world is left-wing now. Even Monaco has gone left. Maybe the former president can retreat to Moscow with his old friend Vladimir Putin. Possibly, we shall see them playing golf together.

One thing is certain: Donald will be smiling. I have never seen a man who had so much fun running for office, and his voice never cracked. Remember I said it. Donald just ran the most vigorous campaign since Bob Kennedy's tragically truncated 1968 campaign.

So, without Tuesday's big story to write about, what am I to do?

Well, given the mobs in the streets that have been forming for weeks, I am sure the police will be active. I hope no one is hurt, especially among the police. They come from homes just like you and me. They have children and spouses. Some are coming home today with aches and pains, all acquired from their work in the line of duty. I hope they have no more serious wounds than aches and pains, and, of course, I hope their adversaries have no more serious wounds. Yet their adversaries can avoid injury by staying at home. The police cannot stay at home. They have to take to the streets to protect property and pedestrians. Thank God for the police.

The Democrats want to defund the police. They feel that sending psychologists out on patrol and social workers is the enlightened alternative to armed police. Well, America already has too many of these psychologists and social workers, so I have no quarrel with sending them out on a fool's errand. Yet, what about the poor people whose neighborhoods they are sent to protect? No, on second thought, I would stick with the police—the brave, resourceful, dutiful police. They protect the poor, the middle class the businesspeople and even the rich.

I come from a police family. Three generations ago, my great-grandfather was a patrolman in Chicago. He held the line in the Haymarket Riot of 1886

fighting simple demonstrators mixed with anarchists who carried bombs. For years thereafter, he attended the Haymarket Riot remembrance. In the end, he was the sole survivor, and I recall as a little boy laying a wreath at a Haymarket memorial in Chicago's Union Park. In the 1960s, the Students for a Democratic Society bombed the Haymarket memorial twice. On at least one occasion, Barack Obama's friend Bill Ayers participated in the bombing. I have written that Bill missed me by about 25 years. Now the Haymarket memorial is in a nearby police station. It is much safer there.

Four generations ago, my great-great-grandfather headed the Secret Service in Chicago. He got wind of a plot by counterfeiters to steal the body of Abraham Lincoln from its crypt in Springfield, Illinois, and hold it for ransom. The night of the kidnaping, he and his Pinkerton men surrounded the crypt and broke up the plot. They chased the counterfeiters back to Chicago, and eventually, Tyrrell sent the perpetrators to the calaboose.

So, as you can see, I have a great deal of sympathy for the police. They brave great danger to protect ordinary people. Of late, they have, in large numbers, been injured in carrying out their work. Some have been badly injured, and others have been murdered. Now "reformers" want to thin their ranks as though life would be much more pleasant without the cops around. Kamala Harris has even contributed to a fund for bailing out demonstrators who the police have arrested. Thirteen members of Joe Biden's staff did likewise.

For some reason, the Democratic candidates feel the police are the cause of urban unrest. Already, the city council of Philadelphia has denied them the use of tear gas. Last week, 58 police officers in that city were injured, and one had her leg broken when a peaceful protestor ran over her in his pickup truck. During last week's riot, 443 incidents of looting were reported, and rioters used explosives to break into 22 automated-teller machines.

I wonder if Kamala and the Biden 13 sent any donations to the Philadelphia cop with the broken leg.



"Eye on the World" comment: The following list of articles consists of headlines of extra articles, which involve protests in the United States. The articles were not posted, but the headlines give the essence of the story.

■ An article by Bob Prince titled "74 Times Leftist Protesters Engaged in Violence, Destruction or Intimidation—in Past 30 Days" was posted at [breitbart.com](https://www.breitbart.com) on Nov. 3, 2020.

■ An article by Chris Quintana, N'dea Yancey-Bragg and Jordan Culver titled "Protesters in Washington, Los Angeles, Raleigh, Portland Take to the Streets Amid Election Night Vote Tallies [With Hundreds of Arrests]" was posted at [usatoday.com](https://www.usatoday.com) on Nov. 3, 2020.

- An article by Elizabeth Rosner, Larry Celona and Kenneth Garger titled "Police, Protesters Clash in NYC As Demonstration Turns Chaotic" was posted at nypost.com on Nov. 4, 2020.
- An article by Ben Feuerherd titled "National Guard Called Into Portland Amid 'Widespread Violence' After Election" was posted at nypost.com on Nov. 4, 2020.
- An article by Andy Ngo titled "'Traveling Protester' Among Those Arrested at Post-Election Portland Antifa Riot" was posted at thepostmillennial.com on Nov. 5, 2020.
- An article by Jorge Fitz-Gibbon titled "More Than 600 Arrested in Post-Election Protest in Minneapolis" was posted at nypost.com on Nov. 5, 2020.



Isaiah 55:6-11—"Seek you the LORD while He may be found, call upon Him while He is near. Let the wicked forsake his way, and the unrighteous man his thoughts; let him return to the LORD, and He will have mercy on him; and to our God, for He will abundantly pardon. 'For My thoughts are not your thoughts, nor are your ways My ways,' says the LORD. For as the heavens are higher than the earth, so are My ways higher than your ways, and My thoughts than your thoughts. For as the rain comes down, and the snow from heaven, and do not return there, but water the earth, and make it bring forth and bud, that it may give seed to the sower and bread to the eater, so shall My word be that goes forth from My mouth; it shall not return to Me void, but it shall accomplish what I please, and it shall prosper in the thing for which I sent it."