

# Eye on the World

## May 5, 2018

This compilation of material for "Eye on the World" is presented as a service to the Churches of God. The views stated in the material are those of the writers or sources quoted by the writers, and do not necessarily reflect the views of the members of the Church of God Big Sandy. The following articles were posted at churchofgodbigandy.com for the weekend of May 5, 2018.

**Compiled by Dave Havir**

**Luke 21:34-36**—"But take heed to yourselves, lest your souls be weighed down with self-indulgence, and drunkenness, or the anxieties of this life, and that day come on you suddenly, like a falling trap; for it will come on all dwellers on the face of the whole earth. But beware of slumbering; and every moment pray that you may be fully strengthened to escape from all these coming evils, and to take your stand in the presence of the Son of Man" (Weymouth New Testament).



A video and an article by Katie Pavlich titled "Netanyahu Presents Iran's Own Documents to Prove They Tried to Build a Nuclear Bomb and Lied About It" were posted at townhall.com on April 30, 2018. Following is the article.

---

Speaking from Tel Aviv Monday afternoon, Israeli Prime Minister Benjamin Netanyahu showed the world that Iran had a secret nuclear program, one they say never existed, before lying about it and signing the 2015 nuclear agreement under the Obama administration.

"Iran is brazenly lying when it says they never had a nuclear weapons program," Netanyahu said.

Standing in front of a power point presentation labeled "Project Amad," Netanyahu revealed Israeli intelligence confiscated 100,000 documents from a secret location in Tehran.

Those documents, many of which were in binders and on discs for visual reference, detail Iran's nuclear program through videos, blue prints, spreadsheets and more. The documents have been authenticated by the United States.

"This is just a fraction of the total material we have," Netanyahu said. "Iran lied about never having a nuke program. One-hundred thousand secret files prove that they lied."

Iran has always denied ever having a nuclear program, but the files show the regime not only developed one, but had plans to put five Hiroshima sized warheads on ballistic missiles.

After lying about their existence, instead of destroying the files in 2015 when the nuclear agreement was signed, Iran moved to preserve the documents. In other words, to preserve their nuclear program.

“Even after the deal, Iran continued to preserve and expand its nuclear weapons know-how for future use,” Netanyahu said.

The presentation comes just days before President Trump is expected to make a decision about whether to scrap or fix the Iran nuclear agreement.

European allies have argued the deal must stay, while they admit there are serious flaws when it comes to the sunset provision, terrorism and Iran’s destabilization of the region.

“President Trump in a few days time will make a decision about what to do about the nuclear deal,” Netanyahu said. “I’m sure he’ll do that right thing.”

President Trump responded to Netanyahu’s presentation at the White House shortly after Netanyahu concluded his remarks.

“This is not an acceptable situation,” President Trump said from the Rose Garden.

“In seven years, that deal will have expired and Iran is free to go ahead and create nuclear weapons. That is not acceptable. Seven years is tomorrow, that’s not acceptable. If anything, what Israel has done today in the news conference was right.”



An article by David Wainer, Donna Abu-Nasr and Henry Meyer titled “Israel and Iran on Path to War As Mideast Tinderbox Awaits Spark” was posted at bloomberg.com on May 3, 2018. Following are excerpts of the article.

---

There have been coups and revolutions, external invasions and proxy conflicts, but the Middle East hasn’t seen a head-to-head war between major regional powers since the 1980s.

There’s a growing risk that one is about to break out in Syria, pitting Israel against Iran.

The Islamic Republic’s forces are entrenching there, after joining the fight to prop up President Bashar al-Assad. The Jewish state, perceiving a direct threat on its border, is subjecting them to an escalating barrage of airstrikes. Nobody expects those strikes to go unanswered.

The path to escalation is clear, and the rhetoric is apocalyptic. “We will demolish every site where we see an Iranian attempt to position itself,” Israel’s

Defense Minister Avigdor Liberman told the London-based Saudi newspaper Elaph, adding that the Iranian regime is “living its final days.”

In Tehran, Hossein Salami, deputy commander of the Revolutionary Guards, said that “100,000 missiles are ready to fly” in Israel’s direction, and warned they could bring about its “annihilation and collapse.”

### **Light a Match**

Iran and Israel have been exchanging threats for decades.

What’s different now is that Syria’s civil war, which sucked in both countries, provides a potential battlespace—one that’s much closer to Jerusalem than to Tehran.

Israeli officials say there are 80,000 fighters in Syria who take orders from Iran. As they help Assad recapture territory, militiamen from Hezbollah have deployed within a few kilometers of the Golan Heights on Israel’s border. Iran has vowed to avenge its citizens killed by the Israeli airstrikes, and it has plenty of options for doing so.

It’s a tinderbox, says Ofer Shelach, a member of the foreign affairs and defense committee in Israel’s parliament. “I’m worried about the possibility that a match ignited in the Golan will light up a war going all the way to the sea.”

Even more troubling is the absence of firefighters.

Israelis lament that Washington has become a bit-part player, unable to impose a Syrian settlement that would guarantee its ally’s security. Absent that, “we can only represent our interests through force,” Shelach says.

Israel’s parliament this week passed a law empowering the prime minister and defense to declare war without wider Cabinet approval in “extreme circumstances.”

Half a century ago, Israel launched a surprise attack against its Arab enemies. A few years later, in 1973, the tables were turned. In both cases, one of the combatants consciously opted for war.

But that’s not how Israel’s more recent conflicts have started, says Shelach. “It always happened because the situation escalated, deteriorated, without any of the sides making a decision.”

And that’s the risk he sees now, with no obvious off-ramp.



Looking back to March, here are excerpts of an article by David Osborne titled “If Trump Succeeds Where Obama Failed on North Korea, Maybe He will Get Nobel Peace Prize—And Deserve It” that was posted at independent.co.uk on March 6, 2018.

---

You may have had a chuckle over recent news that the Nobel Peace Prize Committee had received a forged nomination for Donald Trump. It seemed that the

culprit, who allegedly stole someone else's identity to make the pitch and is now under investigation by the Norwegian police, did the same thing a year ago.

But at any rate, the notion of a Nobel Peace Prize is utterly preposterous.

The amateur antics of Trump with respect to the Korea problem have been terrifying.

- He has watched as expertise on the region and denuclearisation has drained out of a demoralised State Department.

- He has attached zero urgency to appointing an ambassador to South Korea.

- His threats against the North have created unprecedented tensions between Seoul and Washington.

- He sent Ivanka Trump to Seoul to discuss the situation with President Moon Jae-in.

At the annual Gridiron Dinner in Washington on Saturday, Trump astonished experts claiming he'd talked to North Korea himself. "It was headed for disaster and now we're talking," he announced. "They, by the way, called up a couple of days ago; they said, 'We would like to talk'. And I said, 'So would we, but you have to denuke.' "

It turned out it was South Korea he'd been talking to. But, you know, one Korea is much like another.

We don't know what will happen next.

But we can say this with some degree of confidence: we wouldn't be where we are now were it not for the extreme pressure applied by Trump, pressure, by the way, which the Obama administration chose, for whatever reasons, not to apply.

- First the rhetoric. Trump has been consistent in signaling a willingness to order unilateral strikes against North Korea if sanctions and diplomacy were failing and the risk of missile strikes on the US was becoming intolerable.

Nobody was more mortified by the notion of renewed conflict on the peninsula than Seoul. The projections for human casualties are terrifying. No wonder it has worked so hard on rapprochement.

Possibly these threats were part of what also drove Kim to his apparent change of gear.

But perhaps even more compelling is the case that US sanctions on his country may actually be pinching. Already, the US measures, further tightened by the administration last month, amount, in Trump's words, to the "heaviest sanctions ever imposed on a country."

- More importantly, this time the US has striven to ensure they work; that the pain they are designed to deliver on North Korea actually gets through.

Nothing is ever airtight—there will always be countries still anxious to trade with the regime—and Washington still hasn't gone as far as it might, for example punishing Chinese banks propping up Pyongyang.

But other enforcement steps have been taken, like barring US companies from doing business with a long list of shipping companies and vessels believed to have secretly carried North Korean cargo.

This may yet all fall apart. But if this proves to be the beginning of the end of what was rapidly shaping up to be the most serious international security crisis of our times, then a tremendous amount of credit will be due Trump.

Whether or not he always knew what he was doing, his instinct was clear: there will no more pussyfooting around Pyongyang. A dangerous strategy for sure, but one that just may be about to bear fruit.

■ Obama won the Peace Prize and subsequently got precisely nowhere resolving the North Korea conundrum.

■ Suddenly, Trump getting it down the road doesn't seem so implausible.



An article by Michael Morris titled "Allen West Advises Caution on Korean Peace Proclamation: Hitler Signed Munich Accords" was posted at cnsnews.com on April 27, 2018. Following are excerpts of the article.

---

As South Korean President Moon Jae-in and North Korean dictator Kim Jong-un stood side by side, agreeing on peace, unification, and denuclearization, retired Lieutenant Colonel [LTC] Allen West advised caution on the peace proclamation, reminding that Hitler signed the Munich Accords.

"North and South Korea sign proclamation to denuclearize the Korean peninsula and potentially end the Korean War," LTC Allen West wrote in a tweet on his Twitter page Friday morning.

He said: "I advise caution. In 1938 Chamberlain met with Hitler and signed Munich Accords. Chamberlain claimed peace, Hitler later said it was just a piece of paper."

LTC West's warning came as South Korean President Moon Jae-in—with North Korean dictator Kim Jong-un standing at his side—said: "We had a historical meeting, and reached a very appreciative and valuable agreement. There will not be any more war on Korean Peninsula, and a new era of peace has finally opened, and we are declaring that," reported CNSNews.com on Friday [April 27] morning.

"The two leaders are expected to sign a peace treaty later this year, officially ending a war that began 65 years ago."

LTC Allen West warned in another tweet: "History teaches us that actions speak louder than signed accords, or proclamations."



“Eye on the World” comment: The following list of articles consists of headlines of extra articles, which are considered international. The articles were not posted, but the headlines give the essence of the story.

- 
- An article by Timothy Meads titled “Pope Francis: Ban All Weapons” was posted at townhall.com on April 29, 2018.
  - An article titled “Saudi Arabia Downs Yemeni Rebel Missile: State Media” was posted at yahoo.com on April 27, 2018.
  - A video and an article by the jpost staff titled “Watch: Syrian Army Says ‘Enemy’ Rocket Attacks Strike at Military Bases” was posted at jpost.com on April 30, 2018.
  - An article by David Brennan titled “Palestinian Leader Mahmoud Abbas Says Holocaust Caused by Jews’ ‘Social Behavior’ and Money Lending” was posted at newsweek.com on May 1, 2018.
  - An article by Holly Christodoulou titled “Paris May Day Riots See Far-Left Anarchists Smash Windows and Loot a McDonalds As Thousands of Mask-Wearing Protesters Bring Chaos to the French Capital” was posted at thesun.co.uk on May 1, 2018.
  - An article by Nick Squires titled “As Giant Rodents Thrive in Italy, Mayor Comes Up With Novel Solution—Eat Them” was posted at telegraph.co.uk on May 3, 2018.
  - An article by Amanda Macias titled “China Quietly Installed Defensive Missile Systems on Strategic Spratly Islands in Hotly Contested South China Sea” was posted at cnbc.com on May 2, 2018.
  - An article titled “Rajasthan: 27 Killed, Nearly 100 Injured As Dust Storm, Thunder Showers Wreck Havoc” was posted at indianexpress.com on May 3, 2018.
  - An article by Antonio Maria Delgado titled “In Venezuela, Inflation Quadruples to 18,000 Percent in Two Months, With No End in Sight” was posted at miamiherald.com on May 2, 2018.



An article by Drew Harwell titled “Facial Recognition May Be Coming to a Police Body Camera Near You” was posted at Imtonline.com on April 26, 2018. Following are excerpts of the article.

---

The country’s biggest seller of police body cameras on Thursday [April 26] convened a corporate board devoted to the ethics and expansion of artificial intelligence, a major new step toward offering controversial facial-recognition technology to police forces nationwide.

Axon, the maker of Taser electroshock weapons and the wearable body cameras now used by most major American city police departments, has voiced interest in pursuing face recognition for its body-worn cameras.

The technology could allow officers to scan and recognize the faces of potentially everyone they see while on patrol. A growing number of surveillance firms and tech start-ups are racing to integrate face recognition and other AI capabilities into real-time video.

The board's first meeting will likely presage an imminent showdown over the rapidly developing technology. Shortly after the board was announced, a group of 42 civil rights, technology and privacy groups, including the American Civil Liberties Union and the NAACP, sent members a letter voicing "serious concerns with the current direction of Axon's product development."

The letter urged an outright ban on face recognition, which it called "categorically unethical to deploy" because of the technology's privacy implications, technical imperfections and potentially life-threatening biases.

Most facial-recognition systems, recent research found, perform far less accurately when assessing people with darker skin, opening the potential to an AI-enabled officer misidentifying an innocent person as a dangerous fugitive.

Axon's founder and chief executive, Rick Smith, said the company is not currently building facial-recognition systems but said the technology is "under active consideration."

He acknowledged the potential for "bias and misuse" in face recognition but said the potential benefits are too promising to ignore.

"I don't think it's an optimal solution, the world we're in today, that catching dangerous people should just be left up to random chance, or expecting police officers to remember who they're looking for," Smith said.

"It would be both naive and counterproductive to say law enforcement shouldn't have these new technologies. They're going to, and I think they're going to need them. We can't have police in the 2020s policing with technologies from the 1990s."

Face recognition has long had major appeal for law enforcement and government surveillance, and recent advances in AI development and declining camera and hardware costs have spurred developers to suggest it could be applied for broader use.

Roughly 117 million American adults, or about half the country, can be found in the vast facial-recognition databases used by local, state and federal law enforcement, Georgetown Law School researchers estimated in 2016.

Faces are regarded as a quick, reliable way to identify someone from video or afar—and, in some cases, seen as easier to acquire than other "biometric identifiers," such as fingerprints, that demand close proximity and physical contact.

The Department of Homeland Security scans the faces of international travelers at many of the country's biggest airports, and plans to expand to every traveler flying overseas.

But critics say facial-recognition systems are still unproven in their ability to uniquely identify someone. Faces age over time and change because of circumstance, and they aren't always that unique. Identical twins, for instance, have been shown to be able to fool the facial-recognition systems used to unlock Apple's iPhone X.

"Real-time face recognition would chill the constitutional freedoms of speech and association, especially at political protests," the letter from the dissenting groups states.

It "could also prime officers to perceive individuals as more dangerous than they really are and to use more force than the situation requires. No policy or safeguard can mitigate these risks sufficiently well for real-time face recognition ever to be marketable."

Axon has moved aggressively to corner the market on police technologies, offering free one-year trials for its body cameras and online storage to police departments nationwide.

The company said in February that more than half of the major city law-enforcement agencies in the United States have bought Axon body cameras or software, including Los Angeles, Chicago and Washington.

The company, which changed its name last year from Taser International, also advertises itself as "the largest custodian of public safety data in the U.S.," saying more than 20 petabytes—or 20 million gigabytes—of police photos, body-camera video and other criminal-investigation documents have been uploaded to its cloud-storage service, Evidence.com.

Police video is seen as a major growth market for AI-development firms, both for real-time surveillance and after-crime review. One company, BriefCam, allows city officials and police investigators to narrow hours of video down into seconds by filtering only the footage of, for instance, red trucks or men with suitcases.

Axon's long-established contracts with nationwide police forces could push the technology's real-world deployment rapidly forward. Instead of signing new deals with tech firms, police departments with Axon body cameras could push facial-recognition features to its officers in potentially the same way they apply a software update.

Face recognition is one of the most competitive and hotly debated subsets of AI in today's consumer tech, with Apple, Facebook and Google all devoting teams to expanding its use in security, photo tagging and search.

Most facial-recognition systems today depend on "deep-learning" algorithms that analyze facial photos and scan for similarities across a huge data set of similar images. Supporters of body cameras say the upgraded systems could help alert officers to a passing criminal suspect or spot a missing child in a crowd.

■ But the technology does not always deliver perfect results and instead suggests the probability of a possible match, with an accuracy rate that can vary wildly based on the photo's quality, the person's skin color or other factors.



■ Privacy advocates worry that the systems could instill a false confidence and lead to police misidentifying innocent people as suspects or wanted criminals, with potentially fatal results.

■ Today's facial-recognition systems also show troubling implicit biases, often due to the lack of diversity in images its systems have been trained on.

Researchers from the Massachusetts Institute of Technology's Media Lab said earlier this year that the three leading facial-recognition systems—from IBM, Facebook and Microsoft—performed consistently better at identifying the gender of people with lighter skin, averaging 99 percent accuracy for lighter-skinned men and 70 percent accuracy for darker-skinned women.

■ Critics have questioned how effective the volunteer ethics board, meeting twice a year, will be in steering the decisions of a private company.

But Smith said he saw some parallels between face recognition and Tasers, which saw initial resistance but have rapidly proliferated into one of law enforcement's most commonly used weapons.

"We'll probably see some missteps along the way. As I look back on the Taser journey, when you introduce things with this much of a change, it's rarely a smooth process," he said.

But "getting this wrong is not just a bad thing for society. Companies that get these things wrong pay a big price . . . We don't want to create an Orwellian state just to make a buck."



An article by Walter Williams titled "Colleges: Anti-Diversity and Pro-Exclusion" was posted at [jewishworldreview.com](http://jewishworldreview.com) on May 2, 2018. Following is the article.

---

Just within the past week or so, some shocking professorial behavior has come to light. In the wake of Barbara Bush's death, California State University, Fresno professor Randa Jarrar took to Twitter to call the former first lady an "amazing racist."

Jarrar added, "PSA: either you are against these pieces of s--- and their genocidal ways or you're part of the problem. that's actually how simple this is. I'm happy the witch is dead. can't wait for the rest of her family to fall to their demise the way 1.5 million Iraqis have. byyyeeeeeeeeee."

In New Jersey, Brookdale Community College professor Howard Finkelstein, in a heated exchange, was captured on video telling a conservative student, "F--- your life!"

At the City University of New York School of Law, students shouted down guest lecturer Josh Blackman for 10 minutes before he could continue his remarks.

When Duke University President Vincent Price was trying to address alumni, students commandeered the stage, shouting demands and telling him to leave.

None of this professorial and student behavior is new at the nation's colleges. It's part of the leftist agenda that dominates our colleges.

A new study by Brooklyn College professor Mitchell Langbert—"Homogeneous: The Political Affiliations of Elite Liberal Arts College Faculty"—demonstrates that domination. (By the way, *Academic Questions* is a publication of the National Association of Scholars, an organization fighting the leftist propaganda in academia.)

Langbert examines the political affiliation of Ph.D.-holding faculty members at 51 of the 66 top-ranked liberal arts colleges according to U.S. News & World Report.

- He finds that 39 percent of the colleges in his sample are Republican-free—with zero registered Republicans on their faculties.

- As for Republicans within academic departments, 78 percent of those departments have no Republican members or so few as to make no difference.

Langbert breaks down the faculty Democrat-to-Republican ratio by academic department, and there are not many surprises.

- Engineering departments have 1.6 Democrats for every Republican.

- Chemistry and economics departments have about 5.5 Democrats for every Republican.

- The situation is especially bad in anthropology departments, where the Democrat-to-Republican faculty ratio is 133-to-1, and in communications departments, where the ratio is 108-to-zero.

Langbert says, "I could not find a single Republican with an exclusive appointment to fields like gender studies, African studies, and peace studies."

Later on in the study, Langbert turns his attention to Democrat-to-Republican faculty ratios at some of our most elite colleges.

- At Williams College, the Democrat-to-Republican ratio is 132-to-1.

- Amherst College is 34-to-1.

- Wellesley's is 136-to-1.

- Swarthmore is 120-to-1.

- Claremont McKenna is 4-to-1.

- Davidson is 10-to-1.

Only two colleges of the top 66 on U.S. News & World Report's 2017 list have a modicum of equality in numbers between Democratic and Republican faculty members.

- The U.S. Military Academy, aka West Point, has a Democrat-to-Republican ratio of 1.3-to-1.
- The U.S. Naval Academy, has a ratio of 2.3-to-1.

Many professors spend class time indoctrinating students with their views. For faculty members who are Democrats, those views can be described as leftist, socialist or communist.

- It is a cowardly act for a professor to take advantage of student immaturity by indoctrinating pupils with his opinions before the students have developed the maturity and skill to examine other opinions.

- It is also dereliction of duty of college administrators and boards of trustees to permit the continuance of what some professors and students are doing in the name of higher education.

Langbert's findings suggest biases in college research and academic policy, where leftist political homogeneity is embedded in the college culture.

The leftist bias at most of the nation's colleges is in stark contrast to the political leanings of our nation.

According to a number of Pew Research Center surveys, most Americans identify as conservative. These Americans are seeing their tax dollars and tuition dollars going to people who have contempt for their values and seek to indoctrinate their children with leftist ideas.



An article by John Hawkins titled "5 Facts About Mass Shootings That the Gun Control Fanatics Don't Want You to See" was posted at townhall.com on April 28, 2018. Following is the article.

---

Every time there is a mass shooting we get the same old song and dance from the gun control fanatics.

You're sorry this happened? Then you must institute gun control.

Oh, you don't want to do that? Then it's your fault that it happened.

The NRA is bad. They kill people. We must have gun control.

Innocent law-abiding Americans are responsible. Don't you feel bad for the victims?

Then institute our gun control agenda that will do nothing to stop the killings. It's ham handed, relentless propaganda peddled by smug, self-righteous anti-gun zealots.

Well, here are some things you don't typically see those anti-gun nuts mention when they demand that we disarm the whole country because some crazy murdered people.

## ■ Some of the worst mass murders didn't use guns.

The worst mass murder on American soil was 9/11 which featured terrorists turning planes into weapons and 2,996 people.

Then there was the Oklahoma City Bombing which used explosives and cost the lives of 168.

One of the largest mass murders and worst at a school was the Bath School Disaster in 1927 which used dynamite/pyrotol to achieve a 44 person body count.

In 1962 a man committed suicide via dynamite ON A PLANE. Forty-five people died when it went down.

In the 2016 Nice attack in France, 86 people were killed with a large cargo truck.

In 2016 in Japan, 19 people were even killed with a knife.

The idea that these sort of killings are impossible without guns just isn't true.

## ■ America is not the only country with this problem.

Mass shootings happen all over the world and if you account for the POPULATION DIFFERENCE between the United States and smaller nations, we're not even one of the countries with the worst problem,

But a study of global mass-shooting incidents from 2009 to 2015 by the Crime Prevention Research Center, headed by economist John Lott, shows the U.S. doesn't lead the world in mass shootings.

In fact, it doesn't even make the top 10, when measured by death rate per million population from mass public shootings.

So who's tops?

■ Surprisingly, Norway is, with an outlier mass shooting death rate of 1.888 per million (high no doubt because of the rifle assault by political extremist Anders Brevik that claimed 77 lives in 2011).

■ No. 2 is Serbia, at just 0.381.

■ No. 3 is France at 0.347.

■ No. 4 is Macedonia at 0.337.

■ No. 5 is Albania at 0.206

■ No. 6 is Slovakia,

■ No. 7 is Finland.

■ No. 8 is Belgium.

■ Then comes the U.S., at No. 11, with a death rate of 0.089.

The idea that this only happens here just isn't true.

### ■ **These shooters are all white guys.**

There's a long running myth about mass murderers (and for that matter, serial killers) that says they're almost all white guys. This isn't correct as even Slate magazine noted in a rare moment of honesty,

The proportion of white mass shooters drops down to 56 percent, by my count. Judging by those newer numbers, and the most current census estimate that 76.9 percent of Americans are white, the whites-are-over-represented-among-mass-shooters meme appears even less accurate.

Perpetrators that *Mother Jones* classifies as Asian make up 7.4 percent of the data set, versus an estimated 5.7 percent of the population, while those MoJo identifies as black represent 17.0 percent of the mass shooters in the database versus an estimated 13.3 percent of the population.

According to this data set, then, Asians and black Americans are over-represented among mass shooters by about the same proportion (a bit more than one-fourth) that whites are underrepresented.

### ■ **Mass shootings are much more rare than gun control advocates would have you believe.**

If it bleeds, it leads and the bloodier the better. That means mass shootings get ENORMOUS amounts of publicity every time they happen and if you believe gun control nuts, they happen constantly.

But, what are the chances you are actually going to die in a mass shooting?

Well, in an anti-gun article in the *Washington Post* from April of this year, it was noted that 1,081 people had died in mass shootings from 1966 to the present.

In other words, roughly 21 people per year died in mass shootings over the last 52 years.

Just as a point of comparison, almost one American per day (335 per year) drowns in a bathtub, hot tub or spa.

In 2016, according to the FBI Uniform Crime Report, 656 people were beaten to death with "hands, fists, feet, etc."

If the mainstream media obsessively focused on stories like these, we'd have people calling for bans on bathtubs and martial arts training.

The death of any innocent person is a terrible thing, but the number of deaths via mass shootings doesn't justify 1/50 of the attention it's given in a nation of 325 million people.

### ■ **Banning rifles will do very little to address the problem.**

There is no gun control policy that our government can put in place that will stop mass killings.

Let me repeat that: there is no gun control policy that our government can put in place that will stop mass killings.

Still, the latest thing we've heard from people who exploit the deaths of innocent people to further their political agenda is that they don't want to ban guns; they just want to get rid of those awful "assault rifles" to prevent mass shootings.

That doesn't make much sense if you actually look at the data on the weapons used in mass shootings.

According to the FBI, rifles of all kinds accounted for just 3 percent of firearm homicides in 2016, while handguns accounted for 65 percent.

Contrary to what you may have heard, handguns are also by far the most common choice for mass shooters. A *Mother Jones* review of mass shootings from 1982 through 2012 found that 66 percent of the weapons were handguns, while just 14 percent would qualify as "assault weapons" under the definition used in a 2013 bill sponsored by Sen. Dianne Feinstein (D-Calif.). More recent data show a similar pattern.

When the only idea gun control zealots are willing to entertain to stop mass killings is—surprise—gun control and the majority of weapons used in those killings are handguns.

It doesn't take a lot of effort to see that their real agenda is to disarm America.



An article by Michelle Malkin titled "The 'Uncle Tom' Card is Dead" was posted at [michellemalkin.com](http://michellemalkin.com) on May 2, 2018. Following is the article.

---

Here is a short list of prominent conservatives and independent thinkers who've been accused by their critics of being an "Uncle Tom" or some other vitriolic variation on the overplayed left-wing theme of being a traitor to their race or gender ("Aunt Tomasina," "Uncle Juan," "Aunt Jemima," "Uncle Wong," etc.):

- White House Press Secretary Sarah Sanders.
- U.S. Ambassador to the U.N. Nikki Haley.
- HUD Secretary Ben Carson.
- Rapper Kanye West.
- Lt. Col. Allen West.
- Former Louisiana GOP Gov. Bobby Jindal.
- Attorney Miguel Estrada.
- Supreme Court Justice Clarence Thomas.

- Judge Janice Rogers Brown.
- Author and filmmaker Dinesh D'Souza.
- Author and CRTV host Deneen Borelli.
- ACT for America founder and author Brigitte Gabriel.
- Former Secretary of State and National Security Advisor Condoleezza Rice.
- Former GOP vice presidential candidate and Alaska Gov. Sarah Palin.
- Attorney and author Ann Coulter.
- Former Milwaukee County Sheriff David Clarke.
- Sen. Tim Scott, R-S.C.
- Sen. Ted Cruz, R-Texas.
- Sen. Marco Rubio, R-Fla.
- Economist Thomas Sowell.
- Economist Walter Williams.
- Scholar Glenn Loury.
- Turning Point USA activist Candace Owens.
- Conservative radio talk show host Larry Elder.
- The Rev. Jesse Lee Peterson.
- Author Erik Rush.
- Actress Stacey Dash.
- Former GOP presidential candidate Herman Cain.
- Former University of California regent and businessman Ward Connerly.
- Former ambassador and GOP presidential candidate Alan Keyes.
- Conservative activist Niger Innis.
- Tea party organizer Lloyd Marcus.
- Author and columnist Star Parker.
- Author Shelby Steele.
- Social media stars Diamond and Silk.
- ESPN's Sage Steele.
- Radio host Charlamagne tha God.
- Me.

Surveying this short list, you'll notice that all of us public enemies of the progressive diversity-mongers possess an incredibly diverse array of life and work experiences.

We are black, white, brown, native-born citizens, immigrants and naturalized Americans.

We are Republican, libertarian, moderate, hard-right and unaffiliated.

We are politicians, diplomats, academicians, writers, economists, entrepreneurs, entertainers, lawyers, doctors and pastors.

Like I said, this is just the tip of the iceberg. Pretty much any "person of color" who doesn't adhere militantly to Democrat Party orthodoxy has or will face the barbed charge of self-loathing or tribe betrayal.

And legions of women, famous and obscure, wealthy and poor, have been labeled "female impersonators" or "Stepford Wives" for embracing everything from unborn life to gun ownership, high border walls, low taxes and local control.

According to the self-appointed arbiters of color-coded and chromosomal fealty . . .

- If you marry outside your race, you're a traitor.
- If you adopt your husband's name, you're a traitor.
- If you're happy with stay-at-home motherhood, you're a traitor.
- If you straighten your hair, or culturally appropriate some other culture's hair, or bleach your hair the wrong color, you're a traitor.

Lord, what dreary killjoys these p.c. police be.

I catalogued my favorite malicious mutations of the Uncle Tom card for years on my blog, from "white man's puppet" to "Tokyo Rose" to "Manila whore," "Subic Bay bar girl," banana, coconut and Oreo.

Instead of dissuading me from espousing heretical opinions, these insults spurred me on. Now, the increasing exposure and public ubiquity of unapologetic and unorthodox women and minorities seems to have triggered the collectivists' bile production at the highest levels.

Most recently, the White House Correspondents' Association gave "comedian" Michelle Wolf a lofty platform upon which to denigrate Sarah Sanders' womanhood by sniping:

"I'm never really sure what to call Sarah Huckabee Sanders, you know? Is it Sarah Sanders, is it Sarah Huckabee Sanders, is it Cousin Huckabee, is it Auntie Huckabee Sanders? What's Uncle Tom, but for white women who disappoint other white women? Oh, I know. Aunt Coulter."

Ultimately, the problem with the whinnying Wolf's schtick isn't that it's mean and divisive. It's that it's boring, unfunny and ineffectual.

When everyone qualifies as an "Uncle Tom" in the eyes of the left's raging resistance, there will be no one left to pretend to laugh at their anemic jokes.





An article by David Limbaugh titled "Someone Get Poor Jim Acosta Hazardous Duty Pay, Quick!" was posted at townhall.com on April 27, 2018. Following is the article.

---

In their incessant, mostly baseless attacks against President Donald Trump, his leftist haters reveal much more about themselves than him—and it's not an attractive revelation.

In what seemed like a parody of a coastal elitist disparaging inferior flyover Americans, CNN's Jim Acosta said that too many Americans can't see through Trump's act because they "don't have all their faculties."

This is going pretty far, even for this smug activist masquerading as an objective journalist. But in his defense, who wouldn't unravel at the realization that one's diligent yearlong efforts to remove the president have failed so spectacularly?

Have you ever noticed that leftists often characterize conservative views as bigoted, and sometimes dangerous, to discredit and dehumanize them—and suppress their speech?

I dare say that as recently as a few decades ago even radical leftists wouldn't have openly abandoned the universally shared American ideal of free speech. Today, however, finding themselves defeated in the marketplace of ideas, they increasingly support restrictions on speech they don't like.

They routinely conceal their censorship schemes in handsome packages, such as the Fairness Doctrine, net neutrality, and seemingly innocuous campus speech codes, but their aim is the same—to restrict or obtain a government-leveraged advantage over the speech of their political opponents.

A ubiquitous telltale sign of such ploys is the insistence that they are promoting peace and harmony by protecting groups and society from offensive speech, especially that which could lead to violence.

This is grossly cynical sophistry. I could be mistaken, but I am unaware of any examples of conservatives using such specious reasoning to curtail speech they disfavor, and if I do encounter such efforts, I will oppose them, no matter how obnoxious I might find the speech.

Today, many leftists seem to be unashamed to cashier our unifying ideal of free expression—to the point that some are outright admitting they value censorship of certain ideas over the principle of constitutionally protected speech.

They are so intoxicated by their self-righteous advocacy of so-called diversity and tolerance that they contend that First Amendment guarantees should not apply to what they consider hateful utterances.

Jim Acosta doesn't go this far, but he and many of his leftist pseudo-journalistic colleagues, including CNN's chief White House correspondent April Ryan, have been arguing that by criticizing the mainstream media, Trump could cause anarchy around the globe.

Please try not to laugh, because they appear to be serious.

“Fake news, by the president saying this, is not just a simple or cute little statement for some,” said Ryan. “This has tentacles that (are) reaching overseas . . . Think about it. When you are in a country and your citizenry thinks that you’re fake, thinks that what the news is saying is fake, there can be anarchy some kind of way. It causes a destabilization of democracies.”

Acosta added that people around the country don’t realize it’s an act. “They don’t have all their faculties in some cases—their elevator might not hit all floors. My concern is that a journalist is going to be hurt one of these days.”

There you have it.

- Red state Americans are too dim to understand that Trump and his evil mouthpieces like Sarah Huckabee Sanders know the press is truthful and are just maligning it as a cover to continue their nefarious schemes.

- News flash: Trump and Sanders actually believe the liberal media are advocacy journalists who habitually slant and distort the news to advance their leftist agenda—the foremost item of which is destroying Donald Trump and his presidency.

- And, yes, millions of Americans believe this, too—not because they’re being duped, but because it’s true.

- What’s somewhat unclear to me is which members of the mainstream press are self-deceived and which are knowingly deceiving others about their mission.

But how is accusing CNN and other liberal media of spreading rubbish tantamount to inciting violence or somehow likely to lead to it?

I guess these leftists forget President Obama’s ongoing war on Fox News and conservative talk radio.

Besides, Americans have been accusing their political opponents of lying since the nation was founded. Since when is that the same as promoting violence?

- Look around. When calls to violence accompany political speech in this nation they are usually coming from leftists—and so they are projecting again.

- The abject hatred and intolerance of opposing ideas isn’t emanating from the political right. Just ask Kanye West.

Revealingly, Acosta praises White House press briefings, which he claims expose the administration’s “evasions, their lies, their falsehoods.”

What? Did you say lies? Are you inciting violence there, Jimbo?

We’re probably all hypocrites to some degree, but Acosta is gifted in the dark art.

The level of contempt these self-styled arbiters of truth have for the rest of us rubes is as astonishing as it is revealing.

Are they actually saying that we can't question their veracity without exposing them to danger?

- I'm young enough to remember when journalists, even liberal ones, at least pretended the events they were reporting were bigger than themselves.
- Now they are not as much about reporting the news as making it—and putting themselves at the center of it.
- They might as well discontinue the charade—we're on to them.



A video and an article by Guy Benson titled "Analysis: Three Big Takeaways From Bret Baier's Thorough Grilling of James Comey" were posted at townhall.com on April 27, 2018. Following are excerpts of the article.

---

Fox News anchor Bret Baier (a colleague with whom I'm friendly) conducted a tour de force interview with fired FBI Director James Comey on Special Report last night, grilling Comey at length about a series of decisions and statements he made in connection to the Clinton email and Russia collusion investigations.

There was an enormous amount of substance tackled during the discussion, but a few exchanges jump out at me.

### **The dossier**

"What do you mean?" asks an incredulous Baier when Comey says he can't say for sure that the DNC and Clinton campaign funded the salacious dossier.

Comey clarifies that he's only heard media reports to that effect, but can't be certain they're true.

How is that possible?

First of all, the provenance of that file, and who paid for it, has been an established fact for months.

Comey was in an unique position to know basically everything about the dossier, upon which he at least partially relied (his answer on this point struck me as slippery and conflicts with other information) to secure surveillance on a former Trump campaign associate.

He claims he knew it was furnished by people opposed to Donald Trump, but never knew their specific identities.

Really? Either that's false or he was strangely and perhaps deliberately underinformed about key details behind a crucial oppo-research file that he exploited to achieve important investigative ends.

As for his assertion that Steele's anti-Trump work was originally paid for by Republicans, this is a Democratic talking point that has long been debunked, as Baier notes.

Conservative figures did employ Fusion GPS for a time to gather research on Trump, but they did not fund Steele or his dossier.

As Byron York says, "given its importance in Trump-Russia probe and his own relationship with the president, James Comey's ignorance of some basic facts about the dossier is stunning."

### **Clinton email scandal probe**

On the Clinton email scandal probe, Comey defends his actions over the course of that process—persuasively at times, and less so at others. His explanation of his judgments about Clinton's criminal intent wasn't terribly compelling to me.

Baier plays a montage of Comey confirming multiple lies Clinton told about her improper and national security-compromising email scheme, essentially asking how intentional deceit about arguably illegal conduct doesn't signal intent (Trey Gowdy made the same point).

Comey responds that Clinton lying to the media and the American people isn't the same as lying to the FBI. That's true as a legal matter, but lying to the FBI wasn't the core potential crime under scrutiny; gross negligence in handling classified materials was.

Was Clinton merely sloppy (or 'really sloppy,' as Comey puts it in the interview), or did she have an ulterior motive for her reckless set-up, of which she was well aware and calculating?

Her repeated public lies about her actions suggest the latter. That ought to be the relevant standard on divining intent within that context, in my view.

Plus, "sloppiness" does not explain the lengths to which she went to bypass the rules and accountability, nor does it cover the knowing falsehoods and evidence destruction she engaged in when caught.

Another interesting tidbit from Comey on this general subject is his statement that he doesn't know why certain developments in the Clinton probe (including the Anthony Weiner angle) were apparently slow-walked or not kicked up the food chain sooner.

Even though he later dodges on whether Andrew McCabe should be prosecuted for his lies (why shouldn't he be?), I wonder if Comey might have some sense of what might be revealed in the forthcoming Inspector General report.

Also, several people have written about Comey's parsing about what constitutes a "leak."

Baier's specific question about the FBI code of conduct's rule on this point seemed to have Comey dead to rights, forcing Comey to claim that his memos

memorializing his interactions with Trump (written in the course of his duties as FBI Director) were akin to personal diaries, and not official documents.

This may be a savvy legal answer, but it sounds absurd on its face to a layperson.

### **News “hook”**

In my earlier Comey-related post, I mentioned the theory that the decision to brief President-elect Trump only about the most prurient aspect of the dossier (regarding Russian prostitutes) was a set-up to offer a “news hook” to media outlets eager to run with the story.

Baier put this theory to Comey, who said he didn’t leak about that briefing to anyone, adding that former Obama intelligence official James Clapper didn’t either, to his knowledge.

The Federalist’s Mollie Hemingway pieced together and floated this idea a week ago.

She wrote: “Newly released memos written by former FBI director James Comey indicate that an early 2017 briefing for then-President-elect Donald Trump about the contents of an infamous dossier was held so it could be leaked to media outlets eager to report on the dossier’s allegations.

“In multiple memos, Comey specifically mentioned that CNN had the dossier and wanted a ‘news hook’ that would enable the network to report on its most salacious allegations even though they had not been verified . . .

“Comey, at Clapper’s expressed behest, told Trump that CNN was ‘looking for a news hook’ to publish dossier allegations. He said this in the briefing of Trump that almost immediately leaked to CNN, which provided them the very news hook they sought and needed. This briefing, and the leaking of it, legitimized the dossier, which touched off the Russia hysteria.”

If recent revelations are correct, they raise a questions for Mr. Comey.

- Was he intentionally used by a senior Obama administration official to help “legitimize” the dossier by providing the very “news hook” media figures were looking for?
- Or was he in on it?



An article by Nicole Bitette titled “Rob Schneider Thinks ‘Saturday Night Live’ is Ruining the Joke” was posted at nydailynews.com on April 27, 2018. Following are excerpts of the article.

---

“Saturday Night Live,” you can do it—better.

Comedian Rob Schneider burst out on the scene on “Saturday Night Live” in the 1990s, but nearly three decades later, he thinks the hit NBC comedy series is bursting the punchline when it comes to the Trump White House.

The 54-year-old San Francisco native, who was part of the show's now-legendary cast that included Dana Carvey, Mike Myers, Chris Farley, Chris Rock, David Spade and Adam Sandler, says the show today is no different in some ways—yet when it comes to politics, they are too obviously “showing their hand” by consistently bashing President Trump and Republicans.

“The fun of ‘Saturday Night Live’ was always you never knew which way they leaned politically,” he told the Daily News.

“You kind of assumed they would lean more left and liberal, but now the cat's out of the bag they are completely against Trump, which I think makes it less interesting because you know the direction the piece is going.”

Schneider—the star of Netflix's “Real Rob,” which is streaming now—compares Alec Baldwin's visceral portrayal of Trump to that of Carvey, who impersonated President George H.W. Bush on the show more than 30 times between 1987 and 2000.

Rob Schneider said “SNL” is showing its hand too much when it comes to politics in a conversation with New York Daily News on Wednesday April 26, 2018.

“Carvey played it respectfully,” Schneider said. “To me, the genius of Dana Carvey was Dana always had empathy for the people he played, and Alec Baldwin has nothing but a fuming, seething anger toward the person he plays.”

Schneider said Baldwin is “hard to watch” as Trump because his political slant is obvious by a quick glimpse at his Twitter account.

“Alec Baldwin is a brilliant actor . . . he's not a comedian,” Schneider flatly shared.

“I don't find his impression to be comical,” he added. “Because, like I said, I know the way his politics lean and it spoils any surprise. There's no possible surprise. He so clearly hates the man he's playing.”

Politics will be front and center at this year's Emmy Awards as “Weekend Update” hosts Colin Hanks and Michael Che will serve as hosts of the annual ceremony on NBC, with “SNL” creator Lorne Michaels at the helm as producer.

A Democrat for most of his life, Schneider recently switched to being an Independent. He confronts a shifting political climate as he regularly performs stand-up, including upcoming gigs in Portland, Ore., Jacksonville, Fla., and Nashville, Tenn.

He enjoys finding humor in making fun of liberals so they can find the “hypocrisy” in their actions—something Schneider says has become increasingly difficult in today's “PC” culture.

“Literally if you don't go the party line—you're out. There's a real ugliness to it,” he explained.

Schneider has had some controversial characters that might not fly in today's more sensitive culture.

In the film "I Now Pronounce You Chuck and Larry," Schneider portrayed an Asian priest, which received backlash for the stereotypical accent and nearly shut eyes. Schneider's grandmother was Filipina and married his grandfather, a white Army private.

Among his other politically incorrect acts were as a Middle Eastern delivery man in "Big Daddy," a Latino townie character—who shouts "You can do it!"—in "The Waterboy" and a stereotypical Native Hawaiian character in "50 First Dates," among others.

Schneider did not reveal if he supports Trump, calling himself a centrist. But he thinks the Trump-bashing is counterproductive—and could undermine the President's success.

"Nothing good can come from making Trump nervous," Schneider continued. "It's like asking Bill Cosby to top off your drink."

The now-President was one of Schneider's co-stars in "Home Alone 2: Lost in New York," in which Schneider played a smarmy hotel bellman and Trump—whose Plaza Hotel was a key setting for the movie—made a cameo as himself.

Schneider hadn't told "SNL" he was filming the flick and figured he could manage shooting the movie during the day and being on the late-night show hours later. But the filming dragged on.

"I didn't sleep for two weeks," Schneider recalled.

"[Trump] was nice enough to give me a room. He gave me a room for free, so I could sleep between shots," Schneider said. "He was very generous and nice and has been nice every time I saw him. He told me hated me, but he was kind of joking because I made fun of him."

Schneider doesn't agree with Trump particularly about immigrants. The actor's wife hails from Mexico City and the couple shares a daughter, who speaks both Spanish and English.

In June 2015, Schneider tweeted at Trump that his daughter's only "problem" was that she could speak two languages before the age of three.

"I make fun of the Republicans too," he told The News. "I think attacking immigrants is ignorant. Immigrants are what make America great."



"Eye on the World" comment: Kanye West has certainly been in the news for his recent support of Donald Trump and the reaction he has received for his comments. The following three articles will discuss aspects about Mr. West. The first article shows an example of Mr. West doing an outrageous action in 2009 that brought much attention to him. The second article shows him recently reacting to the writings of conservative Thomas Sowell. The third article mentions him receiving death threats for saying nice things about Donald Trump.



Looking back to 2009, here are excerpts from an article by Daniel Kreps titled “Kanye West Storms the VMAs Stage During Taylor Swift Speech” that was posted at [rollingstone.com](http://rollingstone.com) on Sept. 13, 2009.

---

Leave it to Kanye West to produce one of the most infamous moments in VMAs history before the 2009 show was even an hour old.

It happened after Taylor Swift’s victory in the Best Female Video category for “You Belong With Me,” which beat out Beyoncé’s “Single Ladies (Put a Ring On It).”

Just moments after Swift accepted the Moonman and began her acceptance speech, West stormed the stage, taking the microphone from Swift to announce Beyoncé deserved the award.

“Thank you so much!” Swift began. “I always dreamed about what it would be like to maybe win one of these some day, but I never actually thought it would have happened. I sing country music so thank you so much for giving me a chance to win a VMA award.”

Before she could continue, West broke in. “Yo Taylor, I’m really happy for you, I’ll let you finish, but Beyoncé has one of the best videos of all time. One of the best videos of all time!” Kanye shouted to a mortified Swift and the speechless audience.

Everyone at the Radio City Music Hall looked stunned, as the cameras captured an astonished and horrified Beyoncé still in her seat. Soon after, the audience gave a standing ovation in support of Swift.

According to sources at the VMAs, Swift was seen hysterically crying backstage after Kanye’s outburst.

According to our sources inside Radio City, Kanye was promptly tossed from the VMAs after interrupting Swift’s speech and headed to a West Village haunt.



An article by John Nolte titled “Kanye West Tweets Thomas Sowell Quotes” was posted at [breitbart.com](http://breitbart.com) on May 1, 2018. Following are excerpts of the article.

---

Music superstar Kanye West received some Thomas Sowell quotes via a direct message from someone and then published them on his Twitter feed.

Though currently retired, or at least semi-retired, Sowell is a well-known conservative intellectual, an eloquent and informed writer and academic on culture, economics, race and the welfare state. Sowell also happens to be a black man.

Following are the quotes from Mr. Sowell.



1. It takes considerable knowledge just to realize the extent of your ignorance.
2. People who enjoy meetings should not be in charge of anything.
3. The most basic question is not what is best, but who shall decide what is best.
4. The welfare state is not really about the welfare of the masses. It is about the egos of the elites.
5. Socialism in general has a record of failure so blatant that only an intellectual could ignore or evade it.



An article titled “ ‘We Got a Crip Alert for Kanye’: Snoop Dogg’s Cousin Calls for Attack on Kanye West for Supporting Trump” was posted at yahoo.com on May 1, 2018. Following is the article.

---

Kanye West stepped out in Los Angeles on Monday and had an entourage that was hard to miss. The rapper was flanked by three big security guards as he headed to dinner, which may or may not be related to a gang threat recently brought against him.

On Sunday, Daz Dillinger posted an Instagram video calling for the Crips to pay West a visit.

“Yo, national alert, all the Crips out there, y’all f\*\*\* Kanye up. You see that motherf\*\*\*\*\*, f\*\*\* his a\*\* up on GP,” Dillinger said in a since-deleted post. (GP means “general principal.”)

Dillinger’s message was because of West’s support of Donald Trump.



“Eye on the World” comment: The following list of articles consists of headlines of extra articles, which involve the United States. The articles were not posted, but the headlines give the essence of the story.

---

## Finances

- An article by Robert Frank titled “800,000 People are About to Flee New York and California Because of Taxes, Say Economists” was posted at cnbc.com on April 27, 2018.
- A video and an article titled “Gas Prices Hit Three-Year High Nationwide” were posted at nbcnews.com on May 1, 2018.

- An article by Gideon Resnick titled "Hillary Clinton: Being a Capitalist 'Probably' Hurt Me in Primaries Because Many Democrats are Socialists" was posted at [thedailybeast.com](http://thedailybeast.com) on May 2, 2018.
- An article by Jeff Cox titled "Fed Holds Rates Steady But Points to Higher Inflation" was posted at [cnbc.com](http://cnbc.com) on May 2, 2018.
- A Reuters article titled "U.S. Jobless Claims Rise Modestly; Unemployment Rolls Smallest Since 1973" was posted at [reuters.com](http://reuters.com) on May 3, 2018.

### **Illegal immigration**

- An article titled "Soros-Funded Group Launches App to Help Illegal Aliens Avoid Feds" was posted at [judicialwatch.org](http://judicialwatch.org) on April 26, 2018.
- An article by Timothy Meads titled "LAPD Officer Accused of Sneaking Illegal Immigrants Across the Border" was posted at [townhall.com](http://townhall.com) on April 28, 2018.
- An article by Cortney O'Brien titled "Media Paint It As Cruel That Immigrants From Caravan are Being Detained at Border" was posted at [townhall.com](http://townhall.com) April 30, 2018.
- An article by Katie Pavlich titled "DOJ Cracks Down on Illegal Caravan With Federal Charges" was posted at [townhall.com](http://townhall.com) on May 1, 2018.
- An article by Stephen Dinan titled "DOJ Sends 35 Lawyers, 18 Judges to Border to Stop Illegal Immigrant Caravan" was posted at [washington-times.com](http://washington-times.com) on May 2, 2018.
- An article by Paul Bedard titled "Top Judge: Backlog of Illegal Immigration Court Cases Over 1 Million, Delayed Deportations 684,000" was posted at [washingtonexaminer.com](http://washingtonexaminer.com) on May 1, 2018.
- An article by Michael W. Chapman titled "7 Illegal Aliens, 2 MS-13 Members Busted in WV Drug Ring, Liberal Media Ignores Story" was posted at [cnsnews.com](http://cnsnews.com) on May 2, 2018.
- An article by Hans Von Spakovsky titled "7 States Join in New Lawsuit That Might Succeed in Overturning DACA" was posted at [cnsnews.com](http://cnsnews.com) on May 3, 2018.

### **Comments about weapons**

- An article by Sean Davis titled "Dick's Sporting Goods Hired Three Gun Control Lobbyists in April" was posted at [thefederalist.com](http://thefederalist.com) on May 2, 2018.
- An article by Laura J. Keller titled "Democratic Senators Urge Banks to Take Action on Gun Control" was posted at [bloomberg.com](http://bloomberg.com) on May 2, 2018.

### **Comments about Trump support**

- An article by Terence P. Jeffrey titled "Former DNI Clapper Told Committee: 'I Didn't Have Any Evidence of Collusion' " was posted at [cnsnews.com](http://cnsnews.com) on April 27, 2018.

■ An article by Terence P. Jeffrey titled "Intelligence Committee: No Evidence Trump Campaign Colluded, Coordinated or Conspired With Russia" was posted at cnsnews.com on April 27, 2018.

### **Comments about Trump opposition**

■ An article by Allen West titled "The Republican Party Controls Government's Three Branches—'So What?'" was posted at cnsnews.com on April 30, 2018.

■ An article by Susan Jones titled "NY Times Prints Dozens of Questions Mueller Wants to Ask Trump" was posted at cnsnews.com on May 1, 2018.

■ An article by Guy Benson titled "Karl Rove Blasts 'Self-Aggrandizing' Comey: His 'Higher Loyalty' isn't to the Truth" was posted at townhall.com on April 27, 2018.

■ An article by Robin Ridless titled "The Idea That James Comey's Leaks Was Non-Criminal is Falling Apart" was posted at thefederalist.com on May 2, 2018.

■ An article by John Eriesson titled "It's Time for the Right to Realize the Left is a Much Greater Threat Than Trumpism" was posted at thefederalist.com on May 1, 2018.

### **News about the media**

■ An article by Laretta Brown titled "Diamond and Silk [Conservative Media Personalities] Clash With Rep. Hank Johnson in Explosive Hearing on Censorship" was posted at townhall.com on April 26, 2018.

■ A video and an article by Frank Scheck titled "Critic's Notebook: Michelle Wolf Goes Low With Raunchy Humor" were posted at hollywoodreporter.com on April 28, 2018.

■ An article by Mike Allen titled "Media Hands Trump Big, Embarrassing Win [at White House Correspondents' Association Dinner]" was posted at axios.com on April 29, 2018.

■ An article by Pat Buchanan titled "Smut Night at the Press Dinner" was posted at townhall.com on May 1, 2018.

■ An article by Bill D'Agostino titled "One A Day: CNN Hosts Porn Star's Lawyer Michael Avenatti 59 Times in Less Than Two Months" was posted at newsbusters.org on May 2, 2018.

### **General interest**

■ An article by Rachel Weiner titled "Man Who Tried to Drown Himself in Pool is Suing the People Who Pulled Him Out" was posted at Imtonline.com on April 29, 2018.

■ An article by Lia Eustachewich titled "Southwest Flight Lands After Window Breaks; Airline Says 'It's No Big Deal'" was posted at nypost.com on May 2, 2018.

■ An article by Sean Rossman titled "E. Coli Outbreak Tied to Romaine Lettuce Kills 1 in California, Expands to 25 States" was posted at usatoday.com on May 2, 2018.



**Isaiah 55:6-11**—“Seek you the LORD while He may be found, call upon Him while He is near. Let the wicked forsake his way, and the unrighteous man his thoughts; let him return to the LORD, and He will have mercy on him; and to our God, for He will abundantly pardon. ‘For My thoughts are not your thoughts, nor are your ways My ways,’ says the LORD. For as the heavens are higher than the earth, so are My ways higher than your ways, and My thoughts than your thoughts. For as the rain comes down, and the snow from heaven, and do not return there, but water the earth, and make it bring forth and bud, that it may give seed to the sower and bread to the eater, so shall My word be that goes forth from My mouth; it shall not return to Me void, but it shall accomplish what I please, and it shall prosper in the thing for which I sent it.”